

Solicitors' Journal & Reporter.

LONDON, MARCH 22, 1879.

CONTENTS.

JOURNAL.

CURRENT TOPICS:

The New Registrar of the Chancery Division	399
The Payment by the Treasury of Lord Penzance's Expenses in	
Martin v. Mackonochie	399
The Solicitor for Public Prosecutions	399
The Bills of Sale Act, 1878	400
Ecclesiastic Evidence of Intention of Testator	400

LEADS:

The Construction of Specifications	401
The Summary Jurisdiction Bill	402
GOVERNMENT DECISIONS	403
REVIEWS	403
GENERAL CORRESPONDENCE	404
CASES OF THE WEEK	404
APPOINTMENTS, ETC.	407
SOCIETIES	408
LEGAL NEWS	408
OBITUARY	409
HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE	409
LEGISLATION OF THE WEEK	409
COURT PAPERS	410
PUBLIC COMPANIES	412
LONDON GAZETTES, &c., &c.	412

NOTES OF CASES.

Aair v. Young	404
Price, In re	404
Loring v. Murray	405
Dunn v. Gillies	405
London and County Banking Company v. Dover	405
Harmon v. Wearing	406
Edwin v. Visser	406
Or Ewing & Co. v. Johnston & Co.	406
Knight v. Purcell	406
Graves v. Fleming	407

REPORTS.

Adams v. Hagger (App.)	402
Bago v. Easton (No. 2) (Ch. Div. V.C.B.)	404
Balf's Settlement, In re (Ch. Div. Fry, J.)	409
Bry v. Jubb (Ex. Div.)	415
Cromett v. Crom (Q.B. Div.)	411
De la Grange v. McAndrew and others (Q.B. Div.)	413
Dutton, Ex parte. In re Woods (App.)	401
Flinn v. Hind (Q.B. Div.)	413
Gay v. Labouhere (Q.B. Div.)	413
Graves v. Taylor (Q.B. Div.)	413
Graves v. Keene (Ex. Div.)	416
Langbourne v. Fisher (Ch. Div. V.C.B.)	405
Meredith v. Treffry (Ch. Div. V.C.H.)	406
Miller v. Best (Ch. Div. M.R.)	403
Peppercell (deceased), In re Peppercell v. Chamberlain (Ch. Div. Fry, J.)	410
Potter v. Chambers (C.P. Div.)	414
Riley v. Read (Ex. Div.)	414
Reps's Trusts, In re (Ch. Div. Fry, J.)	408
Staehlemidt v. Walford (Q.B. Div.)	412
Wood, Ex parte. In re Wright (App.)	401

To CORRESPONDENTS.—All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer. The Editor cannot undertake to return MSS. forwarded to him.

Subscribers can have their Volumes bound at the Office—cloth, 2s. 6d., half law calf, 5s.

CURRENT TOPICS.

Mr. E. J. Conby has been appointed a Registrar of the Chancery Division of the High Court of Justice in succession to Mr. Frank Milne, who recently resigned his office after a service of fifty-one years.

Sir Stafford Northcote's REPLY to Sir U. Kay-Shuttleworth, with reference to the payment of Lord Penzance's expenses on the appeal in *Martin v. Mackonochie*, is inaccurate in many particulars. In the first place, the appearance of the judge to whom a writ of prohibition is directed to defend his own jurisdiction is most unusual, although not entirely without precedent. Thus, in the great case of *Mayor of London v. Cox* (L. R. 2 H. L. 239), the Corporation pleaded to a declaration in pro-

hibition raising the question of the jurisdiction of the Lord Mayor's Court. But Mr. Justice Willes, in delivering his opinion in the House of Lords, whilst remarking (at p. 280) that the application for prohibition may be made either against the party, or against the judge, or against both, goes on to state that in modern practice "it is usually the party and *very rarely the court* that shows cause against the rule and pleads to the declaration." Lord Penzance, therefore, in himself appearing to defend his own jurisdiction, has departed from the usual course. Further, we believe that the payment of a judge's expenses by the Treasury under such circumstances is wholly unprecedented. The Chancellor of the Exchequer admitted that this was so as regards Lord Penzance himself, and gave the extraordinary reason that the learned judge's court was only very recently established. Now it is quite true that as judge of the court set up by the Public Worship Regulation Act, 1874, Lord Penzance's powers are modern. But it was not as judge under the new Act but as Dean of the Arches and Official Principal of the Province of Canterbury that Lord Penzance suspended Mr. Mackonochie; and the suit in which the suspension issued was one commenced long before the new Act was passed. The authority contended for by the judge is justified by him as incident to the venerable office which he holds as successor of Sir Robert Phillimore, Dr. Lushington, and a long line of illustrious predecessors. Prohibition has been applied for and has gone times without number, from the time of Lord Coke downwards, to the Provincial Court of Canterbury, but we doubt if a single case can be quoted in which the Dean of Arches himself has undertaken the task of asserting his own authority, and we are sure not one can be cited in which he has done so at the public expense. The Dean is not one of her Majesty's judges; he is the judge of the Archbishop; and so far as we can see, has no more title to ask the public to pay his costs than the Chancellor of the Consistorial Court of any diocese in the kingdom.

SOME EXPLANATION was given on Wednesday evening, in the House of Commons, of the objects of the Bill for more effectually providing for the prosecution of offences, to which we drew attention some weeks ago. It will be remembered that the Bill authorizes the appointment of an officer, to be called the Solicitor for Public Prosecutions, whose duty it is to be to undertake, under the superintendence of the Attorney-General, such criminal proceedings as may be prescribed by regulations under the Act or directed by the Attorney-General. This Solicitor for Public Prosecutions is to have an office, with such assistants, officers, clerks, &c., as may seem necessary for the proper execution of his duties, such assistants, &c., being appointed by the Attorney-General with the approval of a Secretary of State. But if we read Mr. Cross's speech aright, the Government have no intention of interfering with the existing system of conducting prosecutions. Their scheme is to appoint a public prosecutor, who will be a permanent officer, and will have in London a staff to assist him, and also assistants in the country at different places. His duties will be, as described in clause 2 of the Bill, under the superintendence of the Attorney-General, to institute, undertake, or carry on such criminal proceedings and to give such advice and assistance to chief officers of police, clerks to justices, and other persons, whether officers or not, concerned in any criminal proceeding, respecting the conduct of that proceeding, as may be for the time being prescribed by regulations under the Act, or directed in a special case by the Attorney-General. But the Solicitor for Public Prosecutions will not, Mr. Cross expressly stated, "act as a solicitor in getting up cases;" and he added that the Government did not desire to interfere with the duties of the Solicitor to the Treasury. The Attorney-General described the proposed official as a "high legal

functionary who should have the supervision of all prosecutions, and have the right to institute prosecutions, or to intervene where he thought it necessary." Apart from the cost of carrying out the scheme, we see no great objection to it; neither do we see that any considerable gain to the public is likely to result from it. But we do see that the result of the creation of the new official will be both to relieve the Attorney-General of much work, and to give him much patronage; and it seems likely that if Sir John Holker has to speak at any agricultural dinners this autumn, he will be able to take a less gloomy view of his position than he did last autumn at Clitheroe.

IT IS VERY DESIRABLE that more light should be thrown on the authorship of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878. We have already discovered that it is to certain noble and learned lords we are indebted for the ludicrously unpractical clause at the end of section 6. But who framed the new provisions in sections 5 and 10? Section 5 provides, as our readers are aware, that, "from and after the commencement of this Act, trade machinery shall, for the purposes of this Act, be deemed to be personal chattels, and any mode of disposition of trade machinery by the owner thereof which would be a bill of sale as to any other personal chattels shall be deemed to be a bill of sale within the meaning of this Act." Now, it is clear that all assignments of personal chattels not within the exceptions in the definition of "bill of sale" in section 4 are bills of sale "within the meaning of the Act," although under section 8 only unregistered bills of sale of chattels which, after the periods therein named, remain in the possession or apparent possession of the person making the bill of sale are to be deemed fraudulent and void. Therefore, an assignment of trade machinery, the actual and apparent possession of which are given up by the vendor to the purchaser at or before the time of the execution of the assignment, is, nevertheless, a bill of sale "within the meaning of the Act." Now, turning to the last clause but one of section 10, we find it provided that "in case two or more bills of sale are given, comprising in whole or in part any of the same chattels, they shall have priority in the order of the date of their registration, respectively, as regards such chattels." The literal meaning of this would seem to be that, although such an assignment of trade machinery as is mentioned above would not although unregistered be fraudulent or void, yet, if the person who made the unregistered bill of sale afterwards assigned the same trade machinery by registered bill of sale, the latter would have priority! There can be little doubt, of course, that the courts would construe the clause in section 10 as having reference exclusively to bills of sale which, if unregistered, would be fraudulent and void—that is, to bills of sale of chattels not to be removed from the apparent possession of the maker of the bill of sale; and to bills of sale by way of mortgage. But why was not this expressed in the clause?

THE DECISION in *Re Fearn's Will* (27 W. R. 392) appears to us, if we may say so deferentially, not much calculated to promote the belief that law is the quintessence of common sense. A lady bequeathed a legacy to the treasurer of "the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel among the Jews." There is no society with exactly that name, but there is a society called the "British Society for the Propagation of the Gospel among the Jews," and this society stated that it was commonly known by the name used by the testatrix. Was there any ambiguity here so as to open the way for parol evidence of intention? According to Wigram on Extrinsic Evidence, cited by Lord Chelmsford in *Charter v. Charter* (L. R. 7 H. L. 364), "it is only where in a written instrument the description of the person or thing intended is applicable with reasonable certainty

to each of several subjects that extrinsic evidence is admissible to establish which of such subjects was intended by the testator." Well, was there here any other society to which the description was "applicable with reasonable certainty?" There is a society called "The London Society for promoting Christianity among the Jews," but this is a name not at all resembling that the testatrix used, and the testatrix's description is not applicable. It is to be observed that the testatrix purported to quote a name, not to describe an object. It may be reasonable enough, where a testatrix describes the institution to which she wishes her bounty to go by reference to its object only—as in *Re Kelver's Trusts* (20 W. R. 225)—to say that the existence of two societies with similar objects raises an ambiguity; but the same cannot be said where the testatrix professes to quote a name, and one society has that name with but the omission of a single word, and the other society has not that name, nor any name at all like it. The learned Vice-Chancellor, however, thought that *Re Kelver's Trusts* was applicable, and accordingly held that parol evidence of intention was admissible.

On the 14th inst., Mr. B. T. Williams, Q.C., M.P., presided at the thirteenth annual meeting of the Inns of Court Lending Library, held at the library, 4, Fig Tree-court, which was instituted for the purpose of supplying barrister-clerks with good literature. The chairman, in his address to the members, spoke of the benefit which such a library must be to barristers' clerks, many of whom had so much time to spare, which by means of reading might be turned to profitable account. Votes of thanks were given to the benchers of the Middle and Inner Temples and to the chairman.

A dinner was held on Wednesday evening at the Grosvenor Gallery Restaurant by members of the Midland and North-Eastern Circuits to celebrate Mr. Justice Stephen's recent elevation to the bench, the learned judge having at different times belonged to both circuits. Mr. Alfred Wills, Q.C., presided, and was supported by Mr. Justice Manisty. Among those present were Mr. Little, Q.C., Mr. Butt, Q.C., Mr. Waddy, Q.C., M.P., Mr. Cave, Q.C., Mr. Campbell Foster, Q.C., Mr. Busard, Q.C., Mr. Lawrence, Q.C., Mr. Flowers (Bow-street magistrate), Master Manley Smith, Mr. Bruce (Leeds magistrate), Sir Adam Bittleston, Mr. Beasley, Mr. G. Bruce, Mr. Dugdale, the Hon. Chandos Leigh, Mr. Coleridge, Mr. Shield, Mr. Ewins Bennett, Mr. F. Lockwood, Mr. W. Graham, Mr. Forbes, Mr. Wilberforce, Mr. H. Smith, Mr. Carter, Mr. Kennedy, Mr. Morley, &c. Mr. Justice Mellor and Mr. Mellor, Q.C., were prevented from being present by the recent death of their relative.

The visiting justices of Salford Prison, Manchester, in concert with magistrates in various parts of the kingdom, have convened a national meeting of visiting justices to assemble at the Westminster Palace Hotel, London, on April 2, at noon (Mr. Hibbert, M.P., in the chair), for the purpose of discussing the mode of administering prisons under the new Act. The meeting will be invited to pronounce its opinion as to the tendency of the new rules, the question of centralization, the desirability of local management of gaols, and of the residence of the prison commissioners and inspectors in their respective districts; also as to the audit of accounts and the system of prison contracts. They complain that some of the contracts made by the new central board are much more expensive for the same classes of supplies than under the old system with the supervision of district magistrates familiar with local prices. Various other grounds of dissatisfaction with the Prison Board are alleged by the Salford justices, and, finding that much dissatisfaction also exists among the magistrates of other districts and counties, they have convened the meeting at Westminster next month. The local sub-committee for arranging the meeting consists of Mr. Charles L. Clare, deputy-chairman of the prison justices; Mr. Alfred Aspland, of Dukinfield, chairman of the Manchester Prisoners' Aid Society; and Mr. R. J. Walker, of the county police-court, Manchester.

THE CONSTRUCTION OF SPECIFICATIONS.

One of the most important branches of patent law is that relating to the principles upon which the court construes the specifications of patents which are impeached for alleged want of novelty. On the principle that a monopoly was "altogether contrary to the laws of this realm," the judges who decided the earlier cases adopted a mode of construction precisely the reverse of that applicable to deeds, and construed a patent as strongly as possible against the grantee. The chief reason for this was, of course, that the specification was prepared by, or on behalf of, the patentee himself; and it was held that, in return for the great advantage of a monopoly for fourteen years, he was bound to make a full and fair discovery to the public of the nature of his invention.

But, in 1831, Lord Tenterden, C.J., remarked: "I cannot forbear saying that I think a great deal too much critical acumen has been applied to patents, as if the object was to defeat and not to sustain them" (*Hallett v. Hague*, 2 B. & A. 377), and shortly afterwards in *Russell v. Cowley* (1 Webs. P. C. 470), Parke, B., said: "I think we ought to read this patent without a disposition to upset it, which has been too frequently the case in many instances on such subjects; we ought to read it fairly in order to understand what the meaning of the patentee is."

From that time to the present, what is often called the "benevolent" mode of construction has been adopted. This mode of construction has been, from time to time, explained to mean that the court is "not to be astute to deprive persons of the benefits to be derived" from their inventions; that "every patent should be construed favourably to the patentee"; that the court ought "not to intend anything in favour of a specification, . . . and certainly not anything against it"; that a "forced construction" is to be avoided, and a "fair and liberal construction" adopted; and that the specification should be construed *ut magis valeat quam pereat*. And in two recent cases (*Hinks v. Safety Lighting Company*, L.R. 4 Ch. D. 612; *Plimpton v. Spiller*, 26 W. R. 285), the rule was laid down by Jessel, M.R., as follows:—"It is the duty of a judge to construe a specification fairly, with a judicial anxiety to support a really useful invention, if it can be supported upon a reasonable interpretation of the patent."

Yet, notwithstanding this so-called "benevolent" mode of construction, it frequently happens that a patent for a really meritorious invention is held invalid for breach of the cardinal rule that a patentee must not claim what is old, or well known, at the time of his invention. In patents relating to machinery, for instance, it is almost impossible for a specification to fulfil the condition of the letters patent, by particularly describing and ascertaining the nature of the invention, without also describing articles or appliances that are well known; and if the patent is for a new or improved machine it becomes necessary to distinguish what is claimed as new from what is admitted to be old. Specifications are seldom artistically drawn; and although the claims with which they usually conclude are introduced for the express purpose of disclaiming every part of the prior description not included in the claims (*Kay v. Marshall*, 2 Webs. P. C. 39), they are often inadvertently extended to something which turns out to be old, in which case it has usually been held that the claim goes beyond the invention and renders the patent bad for want of novelty.

Although a patent for a new combination of articles or appliances, all or some of which are admitted to be old, is good (*Crane v. Price*, 1 Webs. P. C. 393), on the ground that there is novelty in the combination, Lord Westbury, C., held in *Foxwell v. Bostock* (4 De G. J. & S. 313) that even "in a patent for an improved arrangement or new combination of machinery the specification must describe the improvement and define the novelty in a more specific form than

by the general description of the entire machine." It had previously been held in *Lister v. Leather* (8 E. & B. 1004) that a patent for a combination protects every part of such combination which is new and material, even though there may be no express claim for a combination; and Williams, J., said that a patentee need not disclaim what manifestly formed no part of the invention (*Ibid.* 1034). Both these cases were recently considered by the House of Lords; and it was then laid down that a claim for a combination without more is, in itself, a sufficient description of a novel invention; and that, in such a case, it is unnecessary to distinguish the new and the old parts from each other (*Harrison v. Anderson Foundry Company*, L.R. 1 App. Cas. 574). But if the patentee wishes also to protect any separate part of the combination, he must claim it separately, and prove it to be new and useful (*Clark v. Adie*, 26 W. R. 43).

Broadly speaking, the result of these authorities is that a specification which describes both old and new articles or appliances is good if it claims separately only those which are new, whether or not it also claims a new combination of those which are old. And in the recent skate case (*Plimpton v. Spiller*, 26 W. R. 285) a claim for an old mode of securing the runners of skates and making them reversible was read as subsidiary to a previous claim for applying the runners to the footstand of the skate, so as to produce a new and useful result. Both the Master of the Rolls and the Court of Appeal held that the mode of applying the runners (a mere clamp) was so well known that it would be impossible to suppose that the patentee intended to claim it as a substantive invention, but that he was "claiming it as one of the merits and advantages of the entire construction which he has before given." This was certainly a "fair and reasonable construction," although it scarcely went so far in the patentee's favour as the decision in *Frearson v. Los* (27 W. R. 185). In that case the patent was for an improved mode of making screws, and the inventor claimed the making of nicks deepest in the centre and terminating within the head of the screw, but it turned out that screws had previously been made with nicks which terminated within the head. It was held that, after eliminating that portion of the claim, the residue was new and useful, and the patent therefore good. But in a more recent case (*Roberts v. Heywood*, ante, p. 338) Hall, V.C., held that where a separate substantive claim for a well-known article is made the specification is bad, although such article may be part of a new combination also separately claimed; and he declined to read the specification either as if the old article had not been claimed or as if it had been claimed merely as a subsidiary part of the general combination. This decision is in accordance with the view expressed in *Plimpton v. Spiller*, where, after holding that a merely subsidiary claim of an old article might be good, Brett, L.J., said that "if a really independent claim of something which is not new, however inadvertently or carelessly it may be made, is, in fact, made on the face of the patent, the court is bound to hold that the patent is therefore objectionable."

The result appears to be that a claim which includes what is old, but also embraces something new and useful, or a subsidiary claim of what is old, will not necessarily invalidate the patent, but that a separate substantive claim of an old article or appliance will render it bad. In the latter case—where the patentee could often cure the defect the next week by filing a disclaimer—it might be well to give the court a power of amending a specification upon terms at the hearing, somewhat analogous to the power which it now possesses of permitting the amendment of pleadings.

In the absence of any such power, it is desirable for all inventors to act upon the advice given by Pollock, C.B., in *Crossley v. Potter* (1 Mac. P. C. 256): "In my mind the safest course for patentees to adopt, in framing their specifications, is, instead of claiming everything, to confine themselves specifically to one

good thing," in which case the court will read the specifications "with a judicial anxiety to support" their inventions.

THE SUMMARY JURISDICTION BILL.

II.

CLAUSES 18—24, which deal with registration of convictions, remands, and other matters, do not call for any special notice, except that with respect to the humane paragraph in clause 17 "that the wearing apparel and bedding of a person and his family and to the value of five pounds, the tools and implements of his trade shall not be taken under a distress issued by a court of summary jurisdiction," we would suggest the omission of the words italicised. We do not see any reason for conceding the exemption as to tools in the grudging spirit suggested by the Bill, which in practice would only lead to tiresome disputes as to the value of the tools.

We now approach the model appeal clause. It is provided by clause 25 that "where any person is authorized by this Act, or by any Act of Parliament, passed after the commencement of this Act, to appeal" from a conviction or order, "he may appeal to such court, subject to the conditions following"—i.e.—[seven sub-sections follow, as to notice, recognizances, and costs, &c.] It would occupy far too great a space to criticize the model clause in detail, and we are quite prepared to take it as an eclectic clause, containing everything that is good in, and rejecting everything that is bad from, the hundred and one appeal clauses scattered over the Statute Book. We have compared it with the appeal clause in the Licensing Act, 1872 (section 52), with that in the Adulteration Act, 1875 (section 23), and with that in the Public Health Act, 1875 (section 269); clauses selected at random, but which we should think happen to come more into practical use than any other three sets of clauses of their kind. Now in all three cases they differ, and that not slightly, both from the model clause and from each other. Would it be too much of a revolution to make the model clause retrospective, or, at least, to select certain well-known statutes, such as those which we have mentioned, and incorporate the model clause into them? The gain in simplification of justices' law would be very great, and the appellant, who would get more favourable terms in some cases, and less favourable terms in others, had, it must be borne in mind, no common law right of appeal, so that no very great obligation arises to consider his feelings in the matter. This is a serious question, and it will, we hope, receive the careful consideration of the Select Committee to whom the Bill has been referred. Perhaps an appellant might be allowed to use the model clause or the existing clause applicable to his own case at his option, duly signified in writing to the clerk of the justices and the respondent. A similar observation arises upon clause 26, which allows the court to annex conditions to an order to do anything other than to pay money.

Clause 31, which surely ought to have preceded, not followed, clauses 25 and 26, deals with pleading and forms of warrants of commitment, and clause 32, avoiding the effect of *Reg. v. Chantrell* (23 W. R. 707), very properly dispenses with *ceteriorari* as a preliminary to the statement of a case by quarter sessions. Clause 31 is partly modelled upon section 51 of the Licensing Act, 1872, but it fails to provide, as that section does (and as the Adulteration Act and the Employers and Workmen Act do), that the defendant may give evidence. This, too, is a question which must be faced by the Select Committee. If the publican, the grocer, and the keelman may be heard in his own defence, why may not defendants generally on summary proceedings be so heard? This clause will also, we hope, raise the question how far amendments of informations are to be permitted. The clause provides (following the common form) that "the description of any offence in the words of the

Act creating the offence, or in similar words, shall be sufficient in law." But how, if one offence is sufficiently charged, and another sufficiently proved? It might be thought that such a case is provided for by 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43, s. 1, which provides that no objection shall be taken for "variance," but that if the variance shall have been such as to mislead, the hearing may be adjourned. But *Martin v. Pridgeon* (1 E. & E. 778) appears to show that this section does not apply if the information is upon one statute and the conviction upon another. In that case the information was for riotous drunkenness under the Town Police Clauses Act, 1847, and the conviction was for simple drunkenness under the (since repealed) statute of James, and it was held (we have been inclined to think wrongly, but the case has since, we believe, been followed without dissent) that the conviction must be quashed. Surely a simple case of common technical informality like this should be provided for (see the cases collected in *Stone's Justice*, 8th ed., pp. 155, 156).

The 35th clause, which regulates the execution of distress warrants, calls for a few remarks. In the first place, it surely ought to be consolidated with clause 17, above noticed, so far as that clause relates to distress. In the second place, the words "where a person charged with the execution of a warrant of distress retains from the produce of any goods sold to satisfy the distress, or otherwise exacts any greater costs and charges than those to which he is for the time being entitled by law, or makes any improper charge," appear to be too vague. Why should not a schedule of legal charges (for which a model may be found in 57 Geo. 3, c. 93) be appended to the statute?

The 36th clause had almost escaped our notice; but it is so reasonable and proper that it is strange it should not have been law long ago. The clause in question merely provides that "where any property shall have been taken from a person charged with any offence . . . the court shall, if of opinion that the property can be returned consistently with justice, and with the safe custody of the person charged," direct such property to be returned.

It remains to notice those clauses which provide for the fusing of the old with the new law. The most important is this: "Where any unrepealed Act of Parliament incorporates or refers to any provisions of any Act hereby repealed, such unrepealed Act shall be deemed to incorporate or refer to the corresponding provisions of this Act." A difficulty may perhaps arise as to the meaning of "corresponding"; but a similar clause has already been inserted in the Public Health Act, 1875, and the Factory Act, 1878, and we cannot suggest any better wording. We have already pointed out that not a single section of *Jervis' Act*, 11 & 12 Vict. c. 43, is repealed, and we would express a hope that it may not become necessary to repeal any part of that Act, which we take to be one of the best drawn upon the statute-book. It is provided, however, by clause 24 (which, it seems, would have been placed more properly at the end of the Bill) that the Lord Chancellor may make "rules" "adapting to the provisions of this Act, and of the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1848 [Jervis' Act], the procedure under any Act passed before the Summary Jurisdiction Act, 1848," and may also alter the forms contained in that Act. We do not like this clause. The forms in *Jervis' Act* are permissive only, and may easily be adapted by practitioners themselves. And we think the Home Secretary, not the Lord Chancellor, is the proper official to be armed with the power of "alteration" given by the clause.

We have now noticed the leading clauses of the Bill. As a whole, it contrasts very favourably with the Coroners Bill which we recently noticed; that is to say, it proposes certain definite and substantial alterations of the law, which a select committee may, with ease, shape into a useful and workable Act of Parliament.

Recent Decisions.

AGREEMENT FOR PAYMENT OF PROPERTY TAX BY TENANT.

(*Lamb v. Brewster*, Q. B. D. 27 W. R. 395.)

This is a decision which sets aside a judicial explanation of long standing as to the meaning and object of the provision in the Property Tax Act (5 & 6 Vict. c. 35), s. 103, that all contracts, &c., for the payment of rent without allowing a deduction of the property tax shall be utterly void. In *Denby v. Moore* (1 B. & Ald., at p. 128), Bayley, J., said, "it seems to me that the clause in the Act of Parliament enabling the court to deduct [the property tax] was framed with this very view, viz., that the most improved rent for the land might thus be obtained, and be the sum on which the tax is payable; but if the tenant be allowed not to deduct immediately, but to go on paying for many years, and then to call on the landlord to repay him altogether, that will have a tendency to defraud the revenue. The tenant will thereby have a great advantage. If he does not, as in the case before the court, deduct the £10, it is an admission on his part that the land which is let to him for £100 is worth £110. But if so, the Government ought to have received £11 per annum, and not £10 which they have done."

In *Lamb v. Brewster* an agreement was made between landlord and tenant that if the tenant "would continue to pay the rent in full without deducting anything for payments of the property tax, the landlord would repay him all the sums which he had paid, or should pay, for the property tax." The action was brought by the tenant to recover property tax paid by him for three years as tenant of the defendant's testator, and the defendant raised by demurrer the question whether the alleged promise by the landlord and the consideration therefor were not contrary to the provisions of the Property Tax Acts.

Now, taking the language of section 103 of 5 & 6 Vict. c. 35, literally, we venture to think there could be no doubt as to the answer to this question. The agreement was certainly a contract "made and entered into for payment of rent in full without allowing such deduction." And according to Mr. Justice Bayley, it matters not that the landlord undertook to repay the tenant at some future time. But, as Field, J., remarked, "larger ideas are now held" upon the construction of statutes than prevailed in the benighted age when Lord Ellenborough and Bayley, J., applied their minds to this subject. The learned judges in the recent case considered that the meaning of section 103 is that only contracts for the *ultimate* payment of rent in full by the tenant, without any deduction for property tax, are made void; and since the contract before them was not an agreement that the tenant should ultimately bear the tax, it was not void.

We can hardly think that the attention of the learned judges can have been sufficiently directed either to the meaning of "*such deduction*" or to the provisions of section 73, which enacts that no agreement between landlord and tenant touching the payment of taxes to be charged on their respective premises "shall be deemed to extend to the duties charged thereon under this Act, nor to be binding contrary to the intent and meaning of this Act; but all such duties shall be charged upon and paid by the respective occupiers, subject to such deductions and repayments as are by this Act authorized and allowed, and all such deductions and repayments shall be made and allowed accordingly, notwithstanding such covenants or agreements." Now, the deduction authorized in the Act is deduction out of the next payment of rent; and when section 73 provides that, notwithstanding any agreement between landlord and tenant as to the payment of taxes, deduction out of the

next rent "shall be made and allowed," it appears to afford a tolerably clear guide to the meaning of the provision in section 103 making void agreements "for payment of rent in full without allowing such deduction."

Reviews.

HOUSE OF LORDS PRACTICE.

THE PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE OF THE HOUSE OF LORDS IN ENGLISH, SCOTCH, AND IRISH APPEAL CASES UNDER THE APPELLATE JURISDICTION ACT, 1876. By C. M. DENISON and C. H. SCOTT, Esq.s, Barristers-at-Law. Butterworths.

There was certainly an opening for a book on the House of Lords practice since the alterations consequent on the passing of the Appellate Jurisdiction Act, and Messrs. Denison and Scott have produced a treatise not only dealing with the practice and procedure, but also with the history of the appellate jurisdiction. The last subject occupies the introduction, which winds up with the statement that, since the reform accomplished by the Act of 1876, "the court has been so constituted as to inspire a perfect reliance in the justice and wisdom of its decisions." Chapters 1 and 2 relate to the appellate jurisdiction and the constitution of the House sitting judicially; chapter 3 to parties and counsel; chapter 4 to procedure and practice in appeals; and the last chapter deals with costs. The part of chapter 3 relating to solicitors and agents is comprised in three lines, and, considering the materials afforded by the report of the Select Committee of 1876, might, we think, with advantage have been greatly enlarged. Chapter 4, however, gives in a clear and practical way the orders and decisions on points of practice; and the book will be found a fairly complete and useful guide to the practice of the House of Lords.

ROSCOE.

ROScoe's DIGEST OF THE LAW OF EVIDENCE ON THE TRIAL OF ACTIONS AT NISI PRIUS. Fourteenth Edition. By JOHN C. DAY, Q.C., and MAURICE POWELL, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Sons; H. Sweet; W. Maxwell & Son.

Since the last edition of this book appeared the Judicature Acts have come into operation, and the long list of rules of court cited shows the extent to which alteration and addition have been rendered necessary. The sections and rules applicable appear to have been judiciously introduced, and the cases upon them are carefully noticed. As regards the decisions upon the general subjects of the work since the last edition we have not found any omissions; the result of our examination, indeed, has been to show that no little diligence has been used in collecting the decisions. We are not always quite so well satisfied with the statement of their effect. The necessity for extreme brevity renders it difficult often to do more than indicate roughly the general effect or subject of the cases, and if the work is used only as a guide to the decisions this is sufficient; but we imagine the book is used on circuit and elsewhere for other purposes, and, with a view to this, it would be desirable to exercise a little more care occasionally in welding together a series of decisions, such, for instance, as the cases from *Hudson v. Buck* to *Bonnewell v. Jenkins* on p. 299. We notice, by the way, that the provision of 39 & 40 Vict. c. 16, s. 11, as to instruments increasing rent, has escaped the vigilance of the editors in the part of the work relating to stamps on leases and agreements for leases. Our impression on the whole, however, is that this edition has been prepared with very satisfactory care and ability.

REAL PROPERTY STATUTES.

RECENT REAL PROPERTY STATUTES, COMPRISING THOSE PASSED DURING THE YEARS 1874-77 INCLUSIVE; WITH COPIOUS NOTES. BY HARRY GREENWOOD, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Sons.

Mr. Greenwood consolidates the recent statutes with the provisions of the amended Acts, so giving the reader a complete view of the amended statutes. This will be found of considerable advantage, particularly to students, who often find some difficulty in understanding the relation of the new legislation to the old. The author has added notes which, especially on the Vendor and Purchaser Act and the Settled Estates Act, are likely to be useful to the practitioner. On the former Act, for instance, he gives, at page 120, a summary of the questions which have been decided under section 9. On the Limitation Acts and Partition Acts the notes are rather too much composed of extracts from the judgments or *dicta* of judges, but, so far as we have tested them, the statements appear to be generally accurate and careful, and the work will be found exceedingly handy for reference.

THE REAL PROPERTY ACTS, 1877, &c., BEING A SUPPLEMENT TO THE THIRD EDITION OF THE REAL PROPERTY ACTS, 1874-6. By W. T. CHARLEY, Common Serjeant of the City of London. H. Sweet.

This work shows no diminution in the care with which Mr. Charley annotated the statutes in the valuable little book to which this is intended to form a supplement. His explanatory notes on the Settled Estates Act are often very useful, and he prints the recent orders under that Act. On the Contingent Remainders Act he discusses, at considerable length, the controversy to which section 1 gave rise; and in the notes on all the Acts, if there is some diffuseness, there is always a praiseworthy desire to point out the precise object of the new provision and its practical result.

EDUCATION ACTS.

THE ELEMENTARY EDUCATION ACTS, 1870-1876, WITH INTRODUCTION, NOTES, AND INDEX. By HUGH OWEN, jun., Barrister-at-Law. FOURTEENTH EDITION. Knight & Co.

It is only necessary to say of this edition of one of the most widely-circulated law books of our day that it contains the Code of 1878, all the new Orders in Council and Orders of the Local Government Board and of the Education Department. The cases decided since the last edition are fully noticed, and considerable additions have been made to the notes.

General Correspondence.**EXTENDING TIME FOR REGISTRATION OF BILLS OF SALE.**

[To the Editor of the *Solicitors' Journal*.]

Sir,—Under section 14 of the Bills of Sale Act, 1878, power is given to "any judge" of the High Court of Justice to rectify certain omissions, and extend the time for registration of bills of sale, or being satisfied that the omission or non-registration was accidental, or due to inadvertence. Under this section I recently had occasion to apply for an order to extend the time for registration of a bill of sale, and on the matter being mentioned to the master at judges' chambers, and his attention being directed to the words of the section, he stated that he was the "judge" for the purpose of the Act, and refused to allow my clerk to go before the judge, although he requested to be allowed to do so.

Mr. Justice Field, at the instance of an execution creditor, has now decided that the masters are *not* "judges" for the purposes of this section, and has vacated the master's order and the registration, to the prejudice of my client, the bill of sale holder.

It is well that this should be known to the profession and the public, that they may insist on all applications under this section being heard by the judge himself in future, and not by the master.

The rules under the Judicature Act as to the jurisdiction of the masters do not cover the Bills of Sale Act, and no special rules have been made under it. It seems doubtful, indeed, if it is competent to the judges to make rules under this Act which shall confer any power or jurisdiction on the masters to act under section 14.

H. B.

Cases of the Week.

PRACTICE—STAYING PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL—PATENT ACTION—ACCOUNT OF PROFITS—COSTS—ORD. 53, RR. 16, 17.—In a case of *Adair v. Young*, before the Court of Appeal on the 19th inst., an application was made for a stay of proceedings under a judgment, pending an appeal to the Court of Appeal. The action was brought in respect of an alleged infringement by the defendant of the plaintiff's patent. At the trial Bacon, V.C., granted an injunction, and ordered the defendant to account for the profits he had made by the sale of articles which he had manufactured in infringement of the plaintiff's right. The defendant gave notice of appeal, and, the appeal having been entered, applied for a stay of the proceedings for taking the account of profits. The Vice-Chancellor having refused the application, it was renewed in the Court of Appeal. It was urged that, if the account of profits was taken, the defendant would be compelled to disclose the names of his customers, and this would enable the plaintiff to sue the customers, or at any rate to threaten them with litigation, and thus the defendant might lose all his customers and his business might be ruined before his appeal could be heard; so that, if he succeeded on his appeal, an irreparable injury might have been done to him. On behalf of the plaintiff it was urged that the appeal would probably not be heard for long time, and that a long delay in the taking of the account might possibly be very injurious to the plaintiff. The court (Jessel, M.R., and Baggallay and Bramwell, L.J.), thought that the account ought not to be taken pending the appeal, but they ordered the hearing of the appeal to be advanced. And as, by reason of this advancement, both parties had obtained a benefit from the application, the court held that the general rule laid down in *Merry v. Niekalls* (21 W. R. 305, L. R. 8 Ch. 205), and *Cooper v. Cooper* (24 W. R. 623, L. R. 2 Ch. D. 492), that the person who asks for an indulgence ought to pay the costs of obtaining it, did not apply, and they ordered that the costs of the application should be costs of the appeal.

PRACTICE—ACTION IN LANCASTER CHANCERY COURT—ORDER FOR SERVICE ON DEFENDANT OUT OF JURISDICTION, BUT WITHIN JURISDICTION OF HIGH COURT—17 & 18 Vict. c. 82, s. 8.—On the 19th inst., an application was made to the Court of Appeal for leave to serve a writ which it was proposed to issue in the Lancaster Chancery Court upon a person who resided outside the jurisdiction of that court, but within the jurisdiction of the High Court. The application was made under section 8 of the Act 17 & 18 Vict. c. 82, and it was suggested that a difficulty arose in this way—viz., that that section assumes that the action has been commenced in the Lancaster Court before the application is made to the Court of Appeal for leave to serve the defendant, who is within the jurisdiction of the High Court, while, by the orders of the Lancaster Court which are now in force, a writ for service out of its jurisdiction cannot be issued without the leave of the Vice-Chancellor of that court. Jessel, M.R., said that the application to the Vice-Chancellor must be made first, and, his leave having been obtained for the issue of the writ, the application could be made to the Court of Appeal for leave to serve the writ on

the person who was within the jurisdiction of the High Court. At a later period of the day the leave of the Vice-Chancellor having been obtained, the Court of Appeal made the order for service, though the writ had not been actually issued.

EXTINCTION OF DEBT—HUSBAND'S DEBT VESTED IN WIFE—BUSINESS IN CHAMBERS—COSTS.—In a case of *In re Price*, before the Court of Appeal on the 19th inst., the question arose whether debt had become extinguished under the following circumstances:—A husband had, in 1865, given to his wife's mother a common money bond to secure a sum of £2,413 due from him to her, which was to be paid in instalments. In September, 1869, the mother died, having by her will bequeathed the residue of her estate to her daughter, whom she appointed her executrix. During the mother's life the husband had not paid the instalments under the bond regularly, though he had paid something on account of them. The wife, with the assent of her husband, proved the mother's will. In September, 1870, the residuary account of her estate was passed at Somerset House. This account treated the estate as then clear of debts, and the residue as then belonging absolutely to the wife, and on this footing legacy duty was paid upon the sum which was stated to be the clear residue. But the account made no mention of the bond, or of the debt due upon it. After the death of the mother (and, so far as appeared, immediately after) the bond came into the possession of the husband, who thenceforth kept it locked up in a desk of his, but he did not cancel it by cutting off the seal or in any other way. He, however, made no payment in respect of it after the mother's death. He converted to his own use all the rest of the residue of her estate. In April, 1871, he died intestate. In the action, which was brought by some of his next of kin against his widow and administratrix for the administration of his estate, she carried in a claim for the sum which remained unpaid on the bond, as being a debt still due from his estate to the estate of the mother. Hall, V.C., held that the debt had not been extinguished, and admitted the claim. The Court of Appeal held that the debt had been extinguished, and disallowed the claim. Jessel, M.R., said that, as a general rule, when a wife became the owner of a debt which was due from her husband, the debt became extinguished, though the rule would not apply in cases where the rights of third parties, such as legatees, would be affected. But, if it could be shown that no reason existed for the suspension of the general rule, the rule would apply. In the present case there was cogent evidence to show that no reason existed for the suspension of the rule; first, because the husband and wife in passing the residuary account stated that the estate was then clear from debt; and, secondly, because the husband converted all the rest of the mother's property to his own use. The only thing in favour of the wife's claim was the fact that the husband did not go through the form of cutting off the seals from the bond. But that was not enough to counteract the evidence to the contrary. It appeared that the Vice-Chancellor had decided the question in chambers. Jessel, M.R., expressed great surprise at a question involving the right to so large a sum and raising so nice a point of law having been decided in that way, and said that it was quite contrary to his own practice. He said that, if such matters were to be dealt with in chambers, he thought the judge might as well conduct the whole of the business of his court in chambers. He was of opinion that the judge of first instance already took quite enough business in chambers, without hearing cases of such magnitude and importance there. He should have thought that this was clearly not a case to be heard in chambers. A question also arose as to costs. Besides the next of kin who were plaintiffs, some other next of kin had obtained leave to attend the proceedings in chambers. They appeared by counsel upon the appeal, and asked for their costs. The court refused to allow them. Jessel, M.R., said that he desired to state most emphatically that the court would not encourage the attendance of a number of persons on the taking of accounts simply for the purpose of getting costs. Each interest ought to be represented by one solicitor only.

LIQUIDATION—POWER OF TRUSTEE TO COMPROMISE ACTION WITHOUT CONSENT OF COMMITTEE OF INSPECTION—

RIGHT OF TRUSTEE TO COMMENCE ACTION IN HIS OWN NAME—BANKRUPTCY ACT, 1869, s. 25, SUB-SECTION 3; s. 27, SUB-SECTIONS 3, 4; s. 83, SUB-SECTIONS 6, 7.——In a case of *Leeming v. Murray*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 13th inst., a question arose as to the power of a trustee in liquidation to compromise an action without the consent of the committee of inspection. A liquidating debtor was entitled to a policy of assurance, and on his death the office was sued by his then trustee for payment of the amount of the policy. The present defendant alleged that she had charge on the policy and was a defendant to the former action. The then trustee, without the consent of his committee of inspection, consented to an order being made in the action whereby a sum of £800 was paid out of court (the money had been paid in by the insurance company) to the present defendant, a small sum in respect of costs to the insurance company, and the residue to the then trustee. The present plaintiff, who had succeeded as trustee in the liquidation, now brought this action, seeking a return of the £800, on the ground that the consent order was improperly made, and that the defendant had taken her charge with notice of the liquidation proceedings. The defendant demurred to the statement of claim. The plaintiff sued in his own name and not in his official capacity as trustee of the estate of the debtor, and a question was also raised whether the trustee was not bound to sue in his official capacity. By section 25, sub-section 3, of the Bankruptcy Act, 1869, the trustee may "bring or defend any action, suit, or other legal proceeding, relating to the property of the bankrupt." By section 27, sub-sections 3 and 4, the trustee, with the sanction of the committee of inspection, may make compromises with creditors in respect of any debts provable, and also with respect to any claims arising out of or incidental to the property of the bankrupt. By section 83, sub-section 6, the property of the bankrupt passes from trustee to trustee without any conveyance, assignment, or transfer. By sub-section 7 the trustee of a bankrupt may "sue and be sued by the official name of 'the trustee of the property of a bankrupt,'" inserting the name of the bankrupt, and by that name may sue and be sued and do all other acts necessary or expedient to be done in the execution of his office." The main contention on the part of the plaintiff was that, as there was no power to compromise any claim made by a creditor, however small, without the consent of the committee, it was never intended that the trustee by merely commencing an action could compromise the same claim. The Master of the Rolls was of opinion that there was no prohibition against the trustee suing in his own name, and, therefore, that could be done. As to the other point, inasmuch as the Bankruptcy Act gave the trustee a power to commence an action without the consent of his committee of inspection, he was clearly of opinion that he had the power to do all things necessary, just the same as any ordinary litigant, for the conduct of the action, which would include the ordinary power of compromising the same. The plaintiff's proper course was to have impeached the consent order, but while that remained it was binding on him, and the plaintiff's case failed. He had not sought to impeach the consent order in the present action, and, in fact, he could not, seeing that the insurance company were not parties. His lordship, therefore, allowed the demurser and refused leave to amend.

COMPANY—PAYMENT OF DIVIDEND OUT OF PROFITS—INJUNCTION—UNAUTHORISED USE OF NAME OF COMPANY AS PLAINTIFFS.——In a case of *Davison v. Gillies*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 14th inst., an application was made to restrain the defendants, the directors of a company, from paying a dividend under the following circumstances. According to the articles of association, dividends were only to be paid out of "profits." There were also clauses requiring the creation of a reserve fund for depreciation and renewals, and of a "contingency" fund. The plaintiffs alleged that a sum of £80,000 was necessary for the renewal of the company's plant, that there was only a reserve fund consisting of £2,000, and the present dividend fund of £7,690 available for such renewal. The "contingency" fund, which stood at £19,000, it was contended was not for the ordinary purpose of renewals, but rather to meet some unexpected event, as the name of the fund implied. The dividend declared amounted to £7,500. The defendants contended that although a large sum was required for renewals, still not the large sum alleged by the plaintiffs, and that, moreover, the sum did

March 22, 1879.

not require to be immediately expended, but could be spread over several years. The plaintiff sued on behalf of himself and all other shareholders who might adopt the action, and he had joined the company as plaintiffs without their consent, and there was, therefore, a cross-motion by the defendants to strike out the name of the company as plaintiffs. On this motion the Master of the Rolls was of opinion that the plaintiff had made use of the company's name in an unauthorized way, and that the same must be struck out with costs, the company being made defendants. As to the other motion, the question really was one of *ultra vires*, and he therefore thought the plaintiff was entitled to sue, and that it was not a case relating solely to the internal management of the company, and as to which the court or the authorities would not interfere. The sole question, therefore, was whether this payment of dividend was really out of capital, and therefore *ultra vires* the company. On this point he was clear that the company, like any other trading partnership, were bound to set aside a proper sum every year for renewals to meet the ordinary wear and tear of the plant; and that there could be no "profits," which meant net profits, divisible amongst the shareholders until they had done so. The "contingency" fund he could not look upon as intended to meet such an expenditure, and therefore it was perfectly evident that a very large sum was now necessary for renewals, and that there was no fund sufficient to provide for the same. Under these circumstances he did not consider the company had any "profits" available for division, and he granted the injunction asked for. He, however, gave the defendants leave to move to dissolve the injunction in case they could show (as their evidence was not clear on the point) that such a large sum was not now necessary for renewals, and that they had a sum available for dividends.

MORTGAGE — FORECLOSURE — INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATION FOR SALE — CHANCERY PROCEDURE ACT, 1852, s. 55. —In a case of *London and County Banking Company v. Dover*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 14th inst., the question arose whether on an interlocutory application an order for sale in a foreclosure action by equitable mortgagees could be made. For the plaintiffs' reliance was placed on *Davis v. Ashwin* (26 W. R. 139), where a creditor holding security against the real estate of a company in liquidation had obtained an order for sale from Vice-Chancellor Hall on an interlocutory application. The Master of the Rolls considered that the section did not apply to an interlocutory application for sale in an ordinary foreclosure action, as it referred to a sale "for the purposes of the suit," showing that it was intended to apply only to administration suits. The case of *Davis v. Ashwin* only decided that in the special circumstances of that case the Vice-Chancellor considered that an immediate sale would be expedient.

PRACTICE — COSTS — REFRESHERS TO COUNSEL — ACTIONS IN THE CHANCERY DIVISION WITH WITNESSES. —In a case of *Harrison v. Wearing*, before the Master of the Rolls on the 15th inst., a question arose as to whether in actions with witnesses, where the hearing occupied more than one day, refreshers to counsel should be allowed after the first day. The above case had occupied nearly two days, and witnesses were examined *vis à vis*. The taxing master had allowed refreshers to counsel for the second day, and a summons had been taken out to review his decision. The applicant relied on *Smith v. Butler* (23 W. R. 332, L. R. 19 Eq. 485), where Vice-Chancellor Malins had dissented from the custom of the taxing master's office to allow refreshers after the first day, and had decided only to allow them after two days. In that case the Vice-Chancellor said he could not distinguish between cases heard orally and by affidavit evidence, and he considered the true criterion to be the time occupied and not the mode in which the evidence was taken. The Master of the Rolls said he could not agree with the view of the Vice-Chancellor, because such a rule might have the effect of lengthening considerably the arguments of counsel. There was a great distinction between cases heard orally and by affidavit evidence and those heard orally. In the former it was easy to guess from the number of affidavits and the nature of the questions to be argued how long the hearing would occupy, and the fees were apportioned accordingly;

but in trials with witnesses it was impossible to ascertain how many witnesses might be called by the opposite side, and a case might run to greater length than could possibly be predicted. That was the reason why the custom had arisen of giving refreshers in trials with witnesses. The practice in the common law divisions was to allow refreshers after the first entire day, and he should adopt that rule and confirm the decision of the taxing master.

DEBTORS ACT, 1869 (32 & 33 VICT. c. 62), ss. 4, 5 — COSTS OF LITIGATION — PAYMENT BY INSTALMENTS — NO EVIDENCE OF MEANS. —In an action of *Esdale v. Vise*, before Vice-Chancellor Hall, a motion was made on behalf of the plaintiff on the 13th inst. to commit one of the defendants to prison for disobedience to a *subpœna* to pay a sum of £148 12s. for the costs of the action. The subject of the litigation had been the right to certain tithes for the parish of St. Botolph, amounting, as was stated in court, to about £4, and the plaintiff, if having obtained judgment, an order had been made on May 8, 1878, against one of the defendants, who was a solicitor, for payment of the costs. The present application was made under section 5 of the Debts Act, 1869, which provides that, "subject to the provisions of the Act, and to the prescribed rules, any court may commit to prison for a term not exceeding six weeks, or until payment of the sum due, any person who makes default in payment of any debt or instalment of any debt due from him in pursuance of any order or judgment of that or any other competent court." It was argued in support of the application that costs were a debt within the meaning of the section (*Herwton v. Sherwin*, 18 W. R. 882, L. R. 10 Eq. 53), and, as the evidence as to the means of the defendant was very contradictory and insufficient, it was asked that an order for payment by instalments might be made, without any evidence of means, on the ground that such evidence was necessary, under sub-section 2 of section 5, only in the case of an order for committal (*Dillon v. Cunningham*, L. R. 8 Ex. 28). On behalf of the defendant it was contended that the case was not within the exceptions to section 4 of the Act, the defendant not having been ordered to pay costs for misconduct as a solicitor, but as a defendant, and that no attachment could issue against him (*In re Hope*, 20 W. R. 694, L. R. 7 Ch. Ap. 523). Here the defendant was simply in the character of an unsuccessful litigant, who submitted to be examined by the court as to his property. The Vice-Chancellor held that it was clear that, under the authority of *Dillon v. Cunningham*, an order might be made for payment by instalments without any evidence of means, and, acting upon that, he should make an order upon the present motion for payment of the amount in question by monthly instalments of £8, and, with regard to the costs of the present motion, should allow three guineas to be added to the debt.

TRADE-MARK — INJUNCTION — ONUUS PROOF — DESCRIPTION OF ULTIMATE PURCHASER. —In a case of *Orr Ewing & Co. v. Johnston & Co.*, before Fry, J., on the 15th inst., the action was brought to restrain an alleged infringement of the plaintiffs' trade-mark. This trade-mark had been refused registration under the Trade-Marks Registration Act, 1875 (*vide In re Orr Ewing's Trade-Marks*, 26 W. R. 777, L. R. 8 Ch. D. 794), but an appeal to the House of Lords from that decision is now pending. The plaintiffs and the defendants were both manufacturers of Turkey red yarn, which was exported to Bombay and other places in the East. The plaintiffs had for many years affixed to the packets in which their yarn was made up a ticket upon which were embossed a crown, between two elephants, and under the crown a banner. On the banner was printed in Guzerathi characters the name of the plaintiffs' firm. The defendants had recently adopted a ticket of similar shape and colour. On it also were embossed two elephants, but between them was, instead of a crown, the figure of a Hindoo idol. The elephants were in a different position from those on the plaintiffs' ticket, and each had on its back a howdah with a native in it, whereas the plaintiffs' elephants had nothing on their backs. Under the idol was a banner, on which was printed the name of the defendants' firm in English letters. The evidence showed that the plaintiffs' yarn had become known in the Bombay market as "Bhe Hashi" (i.e., two elephant) yarn, and that no other yarn was known

there by that name. It was asked for and sold under that name. There was no evidence of any actual deception by the defendants' ticket, but there was evidence that, though the dealers in Bombay would not be deceived, it was probable that the ultimate purchasers of the yarn—i.e., the native weavers who lived up the country—would be deceived. Fry, J., was of opinion that an ordinary English purchaser, using reasonable caution, would not be deceived by the defendants' ticket, even if he did not see it by the side of the plaintiffs'. But he thought that the defendants had taken a material and substantial part of the plaintiffs' ticket, viz., the two elephants, which had given the name to the plaintiffs' yarn in the Bombay market. That being so, his lordship was of opinion that (in accordance with what was said by James, L.J., in *Ford v. Foster*, 20 W. R. 818, L.R. 7 Ch. 611, 623, and by Lord O'Hagan in *The Singer Company v. Wilson*, 26 W. R. 664, L.R. 3 App. Cas. 395), it was the duty of the defendants to use such precautions that all reasonable probability of error and deception would be avoided. And he held that the *onus* was on the defendants to show that they had used those precautions. His lordship was of opinion that the defendants had not discharged this *onus*, and in coming to that conclusion he laid particular stress on the circumstance that the name of the plaintiffs' firm appeared on their ticket in letters which the natives of Bombay could read, whereas the name of the defendants' firm was printed on their ticket in English letters which the natives could not understand. An injunction was accordingly granted to restrain the defendants from using the ticket which they had adopted, or otherwise imitating the plaintiffs' ticket.

PARTY WALL—METROPOLITAN BUILDING ACT (18 & 19 VICT. C. 122), s. 3.—In a case of *Knight v. Pursell*, before Fry, J., on the 19th inst., the question arose whether a wall was a "party wall" within the definition contained in section 3 of the Metropolitan Building Act, 1855, which says that "party wall" shall apply to every wall used or built in order to be used as a separation of any building from any other building, with a view to the same being occupied by different persons." The wall in question separated buildings occupied respectively by the plaintiff and the defendant, but it stood entirely on the plaintiff's land. Fry, J., held that this circumstance made no difference, but that it was still a party wall within the definition.

PRACTICE—COSTS ON PAYMENT INTO COURT—FAILURE OF PLAINTIFF TO COMPLY WITH ORD. 30, R. 4—APPLICATION FOR PLAINTIFF'S COSTS UNDER ORDER 55.—In a case of *Greaves v. Fleming*, which came before the Queen's Bench Division on March 17, a question arose as to the plaintiff's right to costs where the defendant had paid money into court before defence under ord. 30, r. 1, and the plaintiff did not within the four days provided by rule 4 of the same order accept the same in satisfaction, but some six months afterwards, no steps having been taken in the meantime, accepted the amount paid in in entire satisfaction of the cause of action, and took out a summons to obtain his costs, which Field, J., allowed, and the case came before the court on appeal from that decision. It was contended for the defendant that unless the plaintiff complied with ord. 30, r. 4, he forfeited his right to costs, and that order 55 did not apply. The Court (Cockburn, C.J., and Mellor, J.), held that if the plaintiff follows the course provided by ord. 30, r. 4, he acquires an absolute right to his costs, but if he does not take that course he may obtain them by applying under order 55; when, however, the judge will consider all the circumstances of the case and allow the defendant any costs he has properly incurred since payment into court. In this case the defendant had not been prejudiced in any way by the delay; he must, therefore, pay the plaintiff's costs, and the appeal would be dismissed.

The Philadelphia correspondent of the *Times* says that Congress having passed a law authorising women to practise as attorneys in the United States Supreme Court, Mrs. Bella Lockwood, on the 5th inst., was, on motion, admitted to practise in that court, and hers is the first female name placed on the roll of attorneys of that high tribunal.

Appointments, Etc.

Mr. EDWARD ARNOLD, solicitor, of Chichester and Bognor, has been appointed Secretary to the Chichester Waterworks Company, in succession to the late Mr. Frederick John Malim. Mr. Arnold was admitted a solicitor in 1862, and is also town clerk and coroner for Chichester, clerk to the City Magistrates, the Burial Board, and the Board of Guardians, and superintendent registrar.

Mr. WILLIAM EDWARD CARTER, solicitor (of the firm of Carter & Atkinson), of Pontefract and Knottingley, has been appointed a Magistrate for the Borough of Pontefract. Mr. Carter was admitted a solicitor in 1835, and is clerk to the Commissioners of Land Tax, Property, and Income Tax, for the division of Upper Osgoldcross, clerk to Pontefract Park Trustees, and one of the deputy-coroners for the Honor of Pontefract. The Honor of Pontefract extends within fourteen miles of Lancaster Castle.

Mr. HENRY CHARLES CLARKE, solicitor, of Shrewsbury, has been appointed Clerk of the Peace for that borough, in succession to his father, the late Mr. Richard Clarke. Mr. Clarke was admitted a solicitor in 1871, and is also coroner for the borough.

Mr. E. J. COBBY, who has been appointed to the post of Registrar of the Chancery Division, is the son of the late Mr. Charles Cobby, who practised as a solicitor at Brighton, between fifty and sixty years, and for twenty-eight years was solicitor to the Brighton Town Commissioners. Mr. Cobby was articled to his father, and was admitted a solicitor in Trinity Term, 1856, and was soon afterwards appointed, by Lord Cranworth, a clerk to the registrars.

Mr. THOMAS MUSGRAVE FRANCIS, solicitor (of the firm of Francis & Francis), of Cambridge, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Cambridgeshire and Huntingdonshire (Mr. William Parker Hamond) to be Under-Sheriff of those counties for the ensuing year. Mr. Francis was admitted a solicitor in 1874. Mr. Charles Turner, of No. 59, St Andrew's-street, Cambridge, is the acting under-sheriff, at whose address the business of the office will be conducted.

Mr. WILLIAM PRICE HUGHES, solicitor, of Worcester, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Worcestershire (Mr. Edward Bickerton Evans) to be Under-Sheriff of that county for the ensuing year. Mr. Hughes was admitted a solicitor in 1859, and is deputy-coroner for the Middle district of Worcestershire.

Mr. HENRY MOUNTRICH JAMES, solicitor and proctor, of Exeter, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Devonshire (Thomas Carew, Esq.) to be Under-Sheriff of that county for the ensuing year. Mr. James was admitted a solicitor in 1848, and is also treasurer of the county.

Mr. FRANCIS TREGONWELL JOHNS, solicitor and notary, of Blandford, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of Dorsetshire (James John Farquharson, Esq.) to be Under-Sheriff of that county for the ensuing year. Mr. Johns was admitted a solicitor in 1843, and is registrar of the Archdeaconry of Dorset, registrar of the Blandford County Court, joint district probate registrar, joint clerk to justices, clerk to the Blandford Board of Guardians, Highway Board, and Burial Board. His partner, Mr. Sinclair Trull, is clerk to the Blandford Local Board, joint clerk to justices, and superintendent registrar.

Mr. CHARLES KIDSON, solicitor (of the firm of Kidson, Son, & Mackenzie), of Sunderland, has been appointed by the High Sheriff of the county of Durham (Mr. James Laing) to be Under-Sheriff for the ensuing year. Mr. Kidson was admitted a solicitor in 1868.

Mr. CHARLES TANNER KINGDON ROBERTS, solicitor, of Exeter, has been elected Clerk to the Budleigh Salterton Local Board. Mr. Roberts was admitted a solicitor in 1872, and is in partnership with his father, Mr. Edward Hunt Roberts.

Mr. CHARLES WALTER ELIOTT LOCKHART, who served his articles with Messrs. Upton & Johnson, of Austin Friars, has been appointed a Clerk to the Registrars of the Chancery Division.

Mr. DOUGLAS STRAIGHT, barrister, who has been appointed a Judge of the High Court of the North-West Provinces of

India, in succession to Mr. Justice Turner, who has been appointed Chief Justice of Madras, is the son of Mr. Robert Marshall Straight, barrister, clerk of arraigns at the Central Criminal Court, and was born in 1844. He was educated at Harrow, and was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Michaelmas Term, 1865, and he is a member of the South-Eastern Circuit. He has also practised at the Surrey Sessions and the Central Criminal Court; being one of the junior prosecuting counsel to the Treasury. Mr. Straight was M.P. for Shrewsbury in the Conservative interest from 1870 till 1874, and in 1875 he acted as a commissioner for inquiring into the existence of corrupt practices in the borough of Boston.

MR. WILLIAM FREDERICK HAYNES SMITH, Attorney-General of the Colony of British Guiana, has received the degree of LL.D. from the Archbishop of Canterbury. Mr. Smith was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in Trinity Term, 1863. He was appointed Solicitor-General for British Guiana in 1865, and Attorney-General in 1874. He is also Chancellor of the Diocese of Guiana, and the degree has been conferred by the Archbishop as a testimony to Mr. Smith's services to the Church of England in the colony.

MR. ARTHUR WILLIAMS, barrister, has been appointed Secretary to the Royal Commission on Accidents in Mines. Mr. Williams was called to the bar at the Inner Temple in Hilary Term, 1867, and practises on the South Wales and Chester Circuit, and at the Glamorganshire Sessions

BIRMINGHAM LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

At an ordinary meeting of this society held in the library of the Birmingham Law Society on Tuesday evening last, T. A. Garland, Esq., in the chair, a discussion took place on the following moot point:—"A., an infant, commences business and opens a shop as a grocer, and incurs a debt of £60 to B. Is A. liable to be adjudicated a bankrupt on making default in paying the debt on a debtor's summons?" (*Ex parte Lynch, In re Lynch*, 24 W. R. 375, L. R. 2 Ch. D. 227-45; *Ex parte Kibble*, 23 W. R. 423, L. R. 10 Ch. App. 373; *Ex parte Unity Bank*, 3 De G. & J. 63). The speakers on the affirmative were Messrs. Hooper, Taylor, Steere, and Kemp; on the negative, Messrs. O'Connor, Lane, Samuel, and Rogers. The voting was in favour of the negative. A vote of thanks to the chairman concluded the meeting.

Legal News.

In the Liverpool Court of Passage on the 13th inst., at the conclusion of the business, the judge, Mr. T. H. Baylis, Q.C., thanked the jury for their attendance and the care they had given to the performance of their duties. Then an official of the court handed to the foreman 5s. for division among the jury, whose payments depended upon the amounts recovered. The foreman, looking at the money, exclaimed, "What is this for? If you would excuse me saying so, I think it would be much better not to pay us at all instead of offering us this pauper sum. I think we are all tradesmen, and above receiving a few coppers for our services. I think we had better hand it back and let it be sent to the poor box. It is really disgraceful to offer it." His Honour said it was no part of his duty to know what the jurors received, but he was sure they were well worthy of being well paid for their services. The Registrar.—The payment is fixed by Act of Parliament. The Foreman (to the judge).—We are thankful to you for the kind way in which you have treated us, but whether the Act of Parliament fixes the price or not, it does not render a dishonourable act an honourable one because it is done on the highest authority in the land. His Honour said the Act of Parliament could not be altered, except by going to the Legislature. The Foreman.—Perhaps you will admit there is some justice in my remarks. His Honour.—You are quite entitled to make the observation. The amount does seem very small indeed. I was not aware until you mentioned it what it was. The Foreman.—We were here eight hours yesterday and got 1s. 3d. each. The jury then retired with the 5s.

On Thursday last Lord Justice Thesiger distributed the regimental and company prizes won during the last shooting season by members of the Inns of Court Volunteer Rifle Corps. The regiment, under the command of Lieutenant-Colonel Bulwer, Q.O., M.P., mustered in the garden of Lincoln's-inn-hall, and after going through a short drill marched into the hall of the Inn, and there, forming three sides of a hollow square, stood during the ceremony. Before proceeding to present the prizes, Lord Justice Thesiger expressed the pleasure he felt in once more finding himself face to face with a regiment of which he had been a member, and in which he had never ceased to take a warm interest. He begged to be allowed to say a few words with reference to a question of great importance to the corps—namely, whether any means could be taken to increase its numbers. He was sorry to find that in years in which it was known the number of legal students and barristers had increased there had been no increase in the strength of the regiment which properly belonged to them. Scouting the idea that legal students and young barristers could not find time for volunteering, he touched upon the difficulty those in practice found in joining the corps on field-days, owing to the impossibility of telling when any particular case would come on for hearing. Before the fusion of law and equity, when they had not those continuous sittings which now existed, with so much advantage to the public and so much inconvenience to the bar, this difficulty was not felt, and he thought that when a field-day was appointed the judges should do their best to see that no man in business should be inconvenienced by the fact of his attending at that field-day assembly. During the last five years about 1,900 men had joined the Inns of Court, but only about one-sixth of the

Societies.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.

At the meeting of this society at the Law Institution, London, on the 18th inst., Mr. A. M. Ellis, LL.B., in the chair, the question appointed for the debate was:—"A. agrees to build a vessel for B. for a price payable by instalments. A., by an instrument in writing, which he hands to C., directs B. to pay to C., to whom he is indebted, £100 out of moneys to become due from B. to A., and notice of such instrument is given to B. B. afterwards, for the purpose of enabling A. to complete the vessel, makes advances amounting to more than £100 to A. in respect of the balance of the price. Can C. recover £100 from B.?"—the case cited being *Brice v. Bannister* (25 W. R. 670, L. R. 3 Q. B. D. 569) and also the Judicature Act, 1873, s. 25, sub-section 6. Mr. Lewis Rendell opened the question for the affirmative, tracing the history of the right to assign a debt or *chose in action*, and the effect of the Judicature Act, 1873, maintaining that the sub-section 6 applied to future payments to become due, and that B. was, by the statute, bound by the notice of the assignment. The case for the negative chiefly rested on the diversity of opinion of the judges on the point, and that a direction to pay was not a sufficient assignment by law, and that the payments made by B. were not a *chose in action* which could be assigned previously. After the reply of the opener, the question was put to the meeting and decided in the affirmative.

UNITED LAW STUDENTS' SOCIETY.

The ordinary weekly meeting of this society was held at Clement's-inn Hall, Strand, on Wednesday, the 19th inst., Mr. C. Kains-Jackson in the chair. Mr. Dowson, the secretary of the Davis Prize Committee, announced that the prize for 1878 had been awarded to Mr. C. Owen, the writer of the best essay on "The Law of Joint Stock Companies." Mr. T. Eustace Smith opened in the negative the subject for the evening's debate:—"That the present system of Land Transfer requires to be simplified, and that the best means of effecting this will be by compulsory registration." Mr. A. H. Spokes supported the motion, and sketched a scheme of compulsory registration which he claimed would be feasible and effectual. Messrs. S. A. Jones and Carpenter also supported, and Messrs. Dowson and Owen opposed. Mr. Eustace Smith having replied, the question was put to the meeting and negatived by a majority of four.

number, or 300, had joined this corps. Now, if he was right in his thesis, 1,500 men had not been doing their duty. Were the matter properly represented to these, however, and the influence of the older members of the bar brought to bear upon them, many more would, he had no doubt, join the regiment. Colonel Bulwer, on behalf of the regiment, thanked Lord Justice Thesiger for presiding on this occasion, and called on the regiment for three cheers for the Duke of Connaught and his bride; the national anthem was played, and the men marched out to be dismissed.

Obituary.

MR. WILLIAM HENRY DORMAN.

Mr. William Henry Dorman, solicitor, of Margate and Ramsgate, died at 12, Chapel-place, Ramsgate, on the 10th inst. Mr. Dorman was born at Canterbury in 1838, and was educated at the King's School in that city. He was admitted a solicitor in 1860, having served his articles with his elder brother, Mr. Charles Dorman (of the firm of Kingsford & Dorman), of Essex-street. For about seven years he practised in Basinghall-street, in partnership with Mr. William Richard Preston. After the dissolution of the partnership he settled at Ramsgate, having also an office at Margate, and had a good practice as an advocate in the various local courts. Mr. Dorman had been for many years a captain in the Margate Artillery Volunteers, and devoted much time to the interests of that corps. Mr. Dorman died from disease of the heart, having been for some time in very delicate health. He was buried at Norwood Cemetery on the 14th inst. At the Margate County Court on the 13th inst. Mr. Gibson, solicitor, alluded to Mr. Dorman's death, and the judge (Mr. George Russell) paid a high tribute to the character and abilities of the deceased, and to the courtesy which he had always displayed as a practitioner in that court.

MR. CHARLES HOWARD WHITEHURST, Q.C.

Mr. Charles Howard Whitehurst, Q.C., died at his residence, Burwood Lodge, West Brixton, on the 13th inst. Mr. Whitehurst was born in 1796, and was educated at Wadham College, Oxford, where he graduated B.A. in 1819. He was called to the bar at the Middle Temple in February, 1822, when he joined the Midland Circuit, and the Lincolnshire, Nottinghamshire, and Derbyshire Sessions. He obtained a silk gown in 1844, and was for some time the leader of the Midland Circuit, but many years ago he retired from practice. Mr. Whitehurst was a bencher of the Middle Temple, and was treasurer of that society in 1854.

MR. GEORGE COLEMAN HAMILTON LEWIS.

Mr. George Coleman Hamilton Lewis, solicitor, of 10, Ely-place, died on the 13th inst. Mr. Lewis was admitted a solicitor in 1834, and immediately afterwards went into partnership with his elder brother, Mr. James Graham Lewis. Since the death of the latter he had been the head of the firm, being associated with his nephew, Mr. George Henry Lewis, who is well known as a leading advocate in the criminal courts. The late Mr. Lewis had for over thirty years been deputy clerk of the peace, and clerk to the licensing justices for the liberty of the Tower.

High Court of Justice.

COMMON PLEAS DIVISION.

(Sittings in Banco, before BRAMWELL, L.J., and LOPES, J.)
March 17.—In the Matter of Walter Scowcroft, a Solicitor.

In this case rule had been obtained to show cause why Mr. Scowcroft, a solicitor at Bolton, should not be struck off the rolls.

Fitzgerald showed cause.

Murray, on behalf of the Incorporated Law Society, supported the rule.

The rule had been obtained on the ground that Mr. Scowcroft had been convicted of larceny, and the answer now made on his behalf, as gathered from his own affidavit, was that if he was guilty of anything, it was of breach of trust, but that from first to last he had no intention to defraud.

The COURT were of opinion that no reason had been given for thinking the conviction wrong, and the rule, therefore, was made absolute.

Legislation of the Week.

HOUSE OF LORDS.

MARCH 13.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.
EXCHEQUER BONDS (also passed through remaining stages).

BILLS IN COMMITTEE.
BANKRUPTCY (passed through Committee). DEBTORS ACT AMENDMENT (passed through Committee). JUDICATURE ACTS AMENDMENT (passed through Committee).

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.
CONSOLIDATED FUND (No. 1).

MARCH 14.—ROYAL COMMISSION.
The Royal assent was given by Commission to the Exchequer Bonds (No. 1) Bill, the Consolidated Fund (No. 1) Bill, and the Spring Assizes Bill.

MARCH 17.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.
RIVERS CONSERVANCY.

MARCH 18.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.
MARINE MUTINY. MUTINY.

BILLS READ A THIRD TIME.
PRIVATE BILLS.—Burth Fen District. Lancashire County Justices.

HOUSE OF COMMONS.

MARCH 13.—BILL READ A SECOND TIME.
MARINE MUTINY ACT.

BILLS IN COMMITTEE.
OYSTER AND MUSSEL FISHERIES ORDER (BLACKWATER, ESSEX). MUTINY ACT (passed through Committee).

MARCH 14.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.
PRIVATE BILLS.—London and North-Western Railway (Additional Powers), Midland Railway.

BILLS IN COMMITTEE.
DISTRICT AUDITORS (passed through Committee). PROSECUTION OF OFFENCES (clause 1).

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.
MARINE MUTINY.

BILL READ A FIRST TIME.
COMPANIES ACT AMENDMENT.

MARCH 17.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.
PRIVATE BILLS.—South-Eastern Railway, Easton Neston Mineral, Towcester, Roade, and Olney Junction Railway.

BILL READ A FIRST TIME.
EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY.

MARCH 18.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.
PRIVATE BILLS.—London and North-Western Railway (New Railway), Thames and Severn Canal.

BILL READ A FIRST TIME.
COUNTY BOARDS.

MARCH 19.—BILLS READ A SECOND TIME.
PRIVATE BILLS.—Imperial Continental Gas Association. South London Tramways.

BILL READ A THIRD TIME.
DISTRICT AUDITORS.

BILLS READ A FIRST TIME.
TRUSTEE ACTS (CONSOLIDATION AND AMENDMENT) (Mr. O. Morgan). LICENSING ACT (1872) AMENDMENT (Mr. Rodwell).

Court Papers.

SUPREME COURT OF JUDICATURE.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON .

Date.	COURT OF APPEAL.	MASTER OF THE ROLLS.	V. C. MALINS.
			FAX.
Monday, March 24	Mr. Merivale	Mr. King	Mr. Clowes
Tuesday	25 Cobby	Farrer	Koe
Wednesday....	26 Merivale	King	Clowes
Thursday	27 Cobby	Farrer	Koe
Friday.....	28 Merivale	King	Clowes
Saturday.....	29 Cobby	Farrer	Koe
	V. C. BACON.	V. C. HALL.	Mr. Justice
Monday, March 24	Mr. Teesdale	Mr. Leach	Mr. Ward
Tuesday	25 Holdship	Latham	Pemberton
Wednesday....	26 Teesdale	Leach	Ward
Thursday	27 Holdship	Latham	Pemberton
Friday.....	28 Teesdale	Leach	Ward
Saturday.....	29 Holdship	Latham	Pemberton

LONDON BANKRUPTCY COURT.

BUSINESS OF THE COURT.

In consequence of the resignation of Mr. Registrar Spring-Rice, the following new arrangement has been announced in regard to the distribution of the business :—

Applications under proceedings for liquidation by arrangement or composition, trust deeds, and matters of insolvency must be made to the several registrars as follows : Debtors' name letters A to D inclusive, Mr. Registrar Murray; Di to K inclusive, Mr. Registrar Brougham; Ke to Bi inclusive, Mr. Registrar Hazlitt; Ro to Z. inclusive, Mr. Registrar Pepys.

Cases allotted to the late Mr. Registrar Roche and Mr. Registrar Spring-Rice will be taken according to the above division.

HIGH COURT OF JUSTICE.

LONDON.

HILARY SITTING, 1879.

This list contains all actions entered in Queen's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer Divisions, in which notice of trial has been given; and also all actions in the Chancery Division, in which notice has been given of trial before a judge and jury, up to and including 17th March, 1879.

LIST OF ACTIONS FOR TRIAL.

C P 1	The Chartered Mercantile Bank of India, London, and China (Waltons, B and W) v The Netherlands India Steam Navigation Co, lind (Lovell and Co), commission SJ
Q B 2	Pickup (Hollams, Son and C) v Stevens and anr (Waltons, B and W) SJ
C P 3	Frowne and ors (Stephen Scott) v Sonnenthal (Ashurst, M and Co), stayed SJ
Q B 4	Moffatt and ors (Ingledeew, I and G) v Williams (Plews, I and H), commission
C P 5	Allen (Roberts and B) v Wakefield (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
C P 6	Lopez (H Montagn) v Rae (G R Innes and Son) SJ
C P 7	Breflin (A Jones, T and G) v Williams (Norris, A and C), stayed
Q B 8	Fraser and Co (Stibbard, G and C) v Burrows (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
Ex 9	Hambury (Mercer and M) v Grant and Clark and Punchard (Ashurst, M and Co; Blunt, T and L), postponed SJ
Ex 10	77 other actions against the same defendants
Ex 11	James Watt and Co (G Webb) v Mersey Steel and Iron Co, lind (Norris, A and C) SJ
Ex 12	Ostrom and Fischer (H J Coburn) v Heintz (Naah and F), stayed
C P 13	Rathbone and ors (Freshfields and W) v Caldwell (J B Tows), commission SJ
Q B 14	Lesser (Joe Frost) v Household (Field, R and Co), stayed SJ
Ex 15	Hoogendijk (J E Bailey) v Vander Zee (Simpson and C), commission SJ
C P 16	Wagstaff and ors (Parker and Co) v Anderson and ors (Hollams, Son and Co) SJ
C P 17	Fraser (Same) v Morris (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
Q B 18	Bank of Montreal (Bischoff, B and B) v Huntington (Field, R and Co) SJ
Ex 19	Masson and Co (Murray, H and Co) v Hobley (Hollams, Son and C), commission SJ
C P 20	Kay and anr (Pritchard and Sons) v Young and ors (Clarkes, R and C; J Scott; Burton, Y and Co; Belfast and M), commission SJ
C P 21	Amazon Ting and Lighterage Co, lind (Ashurst, M and Co) v Earle's Shipbuilding and Engineering Co, lind (Chester, U and Co), commission SJ
Q B 22	Pedersen (Johnsons, U, B and A) v Dobell and Co (Field, R and Co), commission SJ
Q B 23	Dreyfus, Frères and Cie (Stibbard, G and Co) v Wattie Milburn and Co (Torr and Co), commission SJ
C P 24	Gardiner (Waltons, B and W) v Warns (F Bradley) SJ
C P 25	Lawson (Tucker, B and Co) v Yeomans (Collette and C)
C P 26	Walker (S H Behrend) v Hough (Bloxam and E), without jury, stayed
C P 27	Jasmin (Lowless and Co) v Hyde (Hollams, Son and C), commission SJ
Q B 28	Vanderzee and Co (Simpson and C) v Campbell, Robertson and Co (J R Chidley) SJ
Q B 29	Pickup (Hollams, Son and C) v The Merchant Marine Insurance Co, lind (Argles and R) SJ
Q B 30	Same (Same) v The British and Foreign Marine Insurance Co, lind (Same) SJ
Q B 31	Weigel (W Beck) v Anderson and ors (Hollams, Son and C), postponed
Ex 32	Hammond and anr (H C Godfrey) v Millar (Beyfus and B) SJ
Ex 33	Young (Wilkins, B and F) v The Sonora Co and ors (Elmali and Co; Mozley and S) SJ
Q B 34	Wakefield and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Engelhardt and Co (Drace, Sons and J), commission SJ
Q B 35	Pickup (Same) v Thames and Mersey Marine Insurance Co, lind (Freshfields and W) SJ
C P 36	Taylor, Bethell and Roberts (Waltons, B and W) v The Universal Marine Insurance Co (Hollams, Sons and C), commission SJ
C P 37	J H Johnson and Co (Same) v McLaren (Same), commission SJ
C P 38	A T Desorpf and Co (Same) v Gates (T Cooper and Co), stayed SJ
C P 39	Taylor, Bethell and Roberts (Same) v Hope (Gregory, Read and Co), commission SJ
Q B 40	Clinch and ors (Flux and Co) v Bank of British North America (Bischoff, B and B) SJ
Ex 41	Bilbrough (Hacon and T) v Henderson (Dawes and Sons), commission SJ
Ex 42	Baum (G M Cooke) v Roberts (in person) SJ
Q B 43	Hallett and wife (Brooks, J and Co) v Gates (T Cooper and Co) SJ
C P 44	Reynolds (J P Garrod) v Cooper (Fisher and Co), postponed
Q B 45	Price (D Birt) v The London and County Banking Co (Stevens and H) SJ
C P 46	Bevington, Foster and Co (Waltons, B and W) v Rawlins (Hollams, Son and C), commission SJ
C P 47	Strauss and Co (F W Mount) v Continental Daily Press Express (Dalton and J), commission
C P 48	Kain and anr (F W and H Hilbery) v Farrar (W Murray) SJ
Ex 49	Silberberg (in person) v Corati (J Hill)
Q B 50	Morgan (Ingledeew, I and G) v Finlay (Hollams, Son and C), commission
C P 51	Smith (Parker and Co) v Kirby (Ingledeew, I and G) SJ
C P 52	Armstrong and ors (Lawrance, P and B) v Callender (Drake and Son) SJ
Q B 53	New South Wales Marine Assurance Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Reude (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
Q B 54	Berliner (A G Ditton) v Krahmer and Gobbers (Wild, B and B) SJ
Q B 55	Alexander (J C Campbell) v McMaster (Vennings, R and J), stayed
Q B 56	Allen and ors (O O Humphreys and Son) v Coker (G Prestwell)
Q B 57	Ochse and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Aspinwall and Co (Drace, Sons and J), commission SJ
C P 58	Anderson and ors (Parker and Co) v Peller (Kearsey, Son and H) SJ
C P 59	136 Amey (Gregory and Co) v Coldwell and Co (T Clay) SJ
Q B 60	Denton (King and W) v Goode (E D Lewis) SJ
C P 61	Silva and anr (W A Crump and son) v Larkins and anr (Lowless and Co), commission
Ex 62	Cave and ors (R B Gregson) v James (Bridges, S and Co) SJ
C P 63	140 Sworder (C J Rawlings) v Gooch (W R Preston) SJ
Q B 64	141 Sandy (Jas Neal) v Florence (Pattison, W and Co) SJ
Ex 65	Brown (T H E Foord) v Groot (Pownall, Son, C and K) Groom (T H E Foord) v Brown (Pownall, Son, C and K), consolidated, postponed
C P 66	143 The London and Hanseatic Bank, lind (Trinders and C H) v Banque Franco-Egyptienne (A G Ditton) SJ
Q B 67	Waterhouse (Lawford and Co) v London and South-Western Ry Co (M H Hall) SJ
Ex 68	Belmont and Co (Freshfields and W) v Shipman (Sole, T and C) SJ
C P 69	Raphael and Co (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
Ex 70	Samuel Montagn and Co (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
Ex 71	Tiffany and Co (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
Ex 72	Adams (H W Christmas) v Great Eastern Ry Co (C A Curwood) SJ
Q B 73	Leslie and Co, lind (Courtney and C) v Gale and Co (Wontner and Son) SJ
C P 74	151 Leary and Co (Markby, S and Co) v Wiarda (G J Jennings), commission
Ex 75	152 Jones, Bros and Co (Phelps, S and B) v Lyon and anr (G Badham) SJ
Ex 76	153 De Bergum and Co, lind (Wilkins, B and F) v Ward and ors (Peteoet and G; Pritchard and Son; J M Chamberlain) SJ
Q B 77	154 Llewellyn and anr (Carr, B, D and M) v Clabon and anr (Lawrence, P and Co; Randall and A) SJ
Ex 78	155 Duns and anr, trading, &c (A J Thomas) v Gillings (Boneton and Sons)
Q B 79	156 The Central African Trading Co, lind (Flux and Co) v Walsh, Bros (Milne, R and M), commission

C P 157 Coates (Stevens and H) v London and South-Western Ry Co (M H Hall) SJ
 Q B 158 Abbott (J W Heritage) v North Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
 C P 159 Smeed, Dean and Co, limd (Farlow and J) v Rowton and anr (Farnfields) SJ
 Q B 160 Anderson and anr (C T Foster) v Oppenheimer (Pilgrim and P) SJ
 Q B 161 Falkenburg and Hesse (Hollams, Son and C) v Kumpers and Co (W A Crump and Son) SJ
 C P 162 Burton (Pyke and M) v Raynes (Ellis, M and C) SJ
 Chy 163 Rivaz (Waltons, B and W) v Gerussi (Hollams, Son and C) SJ
 Ex 164 Rawley (H W Christmas) v Metropolitan Ry Co (Burchells) SJ
 Ex 165 Barber (Roscoe, H and S) v Taylor (A G Dilton)
 Ex 166 Perry (Lewis, M and L) v Gould and anr (W F Morris)
 Q B 167 Landor and anr (Stibbard, G and C) v Westcott and anr (Parker and Co) SJ
 C P 168 Cochran (I J Irving) v Collard and ors (Warry, R and B; White, R and Co)
 Q B 169 Agar (B Hutchinson) v Tenton (W Norris)
 Q B 170 Morell (J Langton) v Brown (W Elcock)
 C P 171 Cremen (Carr, F and Co) v Semple (G B B Norman)
 Q B 172 Standard Lubricating Oils Co, limd (H Kimber and Co) v Smith (Champion and Co), commission
 C P 173 Johns (Crook and S) v North Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
 C P 174 Acres (Same) v Same (S) SJ
 Ex 175 Burnup (G and W Webb) v Windas and anr, trading, &c (Lyne and H)
 Ex 176 Adams (G F B Birchall) v Birch (W H Lydall)
 Ex 177 Corby (C Mossop) v Warwick (Speechley and Co)
 Ex 178 Mulligan (W A Greatorex) v Caldwell (J B Towne)
 C P 179 Watson (C Sawbridge) v Higgins (A S M Vosper)
 Ex 180 Terry (Goldring and J) v Seaton (Matthews and W)
 Q B 181 Gibbs (A G Dilton) v Cox (Wild, B and B)
 Q B 182 Ryland and McMaster (Cattarus, J and H) v Hogan (Smith, and L)
 Q B 183 Shaw and anr (Ingledeew, I and G) v Siemens Bros (Johnson, U and Co) SJ
 C P 184 Le Patourel (Ashurst, M and Co) v Hudson (Peacock and G) SJ
 C P 185 Lambert (Angell and I Terry) v Saunders (Hewitt and A)
 C P 186 Beeson (Farnfields) v Culliford and ors (Hollams, Son and C), commission
 Ex 187 Brough (Hubert Wood) v Cordery (Duffield and B)
 Q B 188 Ellwood (Bolton and Co) v Chaplin and Horne (R F Roberts) SJ
 C P 189 Stokes (T Wells) v Kromschroder (J S Lickerish)
 Ex 190 Sir H M M Thompson (Murray, H and S) v McHenry (Gregory and Co), stayed SJ
 Q B 191 Schweiler and Co (F Bradley) v Pain (Farnfields)
 Q B 192 Fotheringham (Lowless and Co) v Arnott and ors (Dawes and Son) SJ
 Q B 193 Same (Same) v The Cork Harbour Docks and Warehouses Co (Same) SJ
 Ex 194 Hoare (K F B Harston) v Lewis (S Botteley)
 C P 195 Eyre (A Vosper) v Drawbridge (in person), stayed
 Q B 196 Dale and Spring (Hollams, Son & C) v Wakefield (Waltons, B and W), commission SJ
 C P 197 Rasch (Llewellyn, A and H) v Goodwin (S T Cooper)
 C P 198 Bridgemarsh Brickfields Co limd (W H Roberts) v Hatton (Tilley and S)
 Q B 199 Troop (Young and Sons) v De Foremede Dampmoller (Hollams, Son and C)
 Ex 200 Bennett (S F Langham) v London, Brighton, &c, Ry Co (Norton, R and Co) SJ
 Q B 201 Browning (Fry and H) v Detzmar (Wilde, B, M and W)
 C P 202 Ward (T R Watson) v Parrick (R W Stacpoole)
 Q B 203 Harvey and Co (Morgan and G) v Reddin (D Birt)
 C P 204 Turnbill, Stewart and Co (Waltons, B and W) v De Putron (Stocken and Co), commission SJ
 Ex 205 Tyser and Balme (H S Winnet) v Komatski (Crouch and S)
 C P 206 Tucker (Waltons, B and W) v Silva (Hollams, Son and C) SJ
 Q B 207 Woodhouse and anr (Bowker, P, B and C) v Hampton (W Rawlins) SJ
 Q B 208 Sandford (L Keays) v Smith (J and F Needham)
 Q B 209 Ingall, Phillips and Co (Hollams, Son and C) v Davies (F Bradley)
 Q B 210 Dickinson (Haywards, K and S) v S Stanbridge (Clapham and F)
 C P 211 Gabb and wife (T Beard and Sons) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
 C P 212 Finch (H Montagu) v Dixon (Argles and R), commission SJ
 Q B 213 Flanagan (Hollams, Son and C) v Midland Ry Co (Beale, M and Co) SJ
 Ex 214 Aimers (H W Christmas) v East and West India Dock Co (Freshfields and W) SJ
 C P 215 Slater and Wife (T Beard and Sons) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
 Q B 216 Walker (J W Heritage) v Thornton and Pettingill (in person)
 C P 217 Newman (J Croft) v Job (C Mossop)
 Q B 218 Carver (Fry and H) v Adams (J W Heritage)
 Ex 219 Polson and anr (E N Mason) v Tennant (Darley and C) SJ
 Ex 220 Bevington (Lewis, M and L) v Vaughan (W H Herbert) SJ
 Ex 221 Jones and anr (Popplestone and B) v Hough and anr (Lyne and H) SJ
 Q B 222 Cott (J F Bannister and F) v Ashton and ors (Hunter and D)
 C P 223 Harris (Ley and B) v McNamara (U A Curwood) SJ
 Q B 224 Penfold (Greenhill and B) v Nathan (Paterson, S and B)
 Q B 225 Paget (Longcroft and M) v Herbert (Lewis and Whitehead)
 Q B 226 Milburn (G and W Webb) v N Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
 Q B 227 Alt (G Badham) v Goodlake (F L Soames) SJ

Q B 228 Brown (W G Payne) v Priest (S T Cooper)
 Ex 229 Hough and Co (Lyne and H) v Manzanos and Co (Ingledeew, I and G) SJ
 C P 230 Jones (H Montagu) v O'Brien (F Clift)
 C P 231 Vanner (J Chapman and Co) v Bennett (Dawson and Co)
 Ex 232 Barton (W Bohm) v Blundell (Rooks and Co)
 Ex 233 Holland (Plunkett and L) v Garnham (Wright and Law)
 Ex 234 Hopcraft and Co (E D Lewis) v Morton (Linklater and Co)
 Q B 235 Steibel Bros (Hollams, Son and C) v Cumming (Waltons, B and W), stayed SJ
 Q B 236 Same (Same) v Alliance Marine Insurance Co (Same) SJ
 Q B 237 Same (Same) v Usielli (Same) SJ
 C P 238 Heewood (F W and H Hilbery) v Hatton and anr (Tilley and S)
 C P 239 Tatton (T Bettely) v Bergheim (Crump and Son) SJ
 Ex 240 Hackett (Scard and Son) v Gisby (Robert Wood)
 Ex 241 Viney, Trustee, &c. (Phelps, S and B) v Birkley and Co (J McDermid)
 C P 242 Hume (Harper, B and B) v Wilson (Glynes, Son and C)
 Ex 243 Hardy (Tatham and Sons) v Bird (G H K and G A Fisher) SJ
 Q B 244 Schulte and Schemann (Hollams, Son and C) v Hopkins Gilkes and Co (Van Sandau and C) SJ
 Q B 245 Rosenblum (Brighton, B and N) v Commercial Steamship Co, limd (G Kebbell)
 C P 246 Board, trading, &c (E Hughes) v Bell (Webster and G)
 C P 247 Dixon (Argles and A) v Sea Insurance Co, limd (Gregory and Co) SJ
 C P 248 Same (Same) v Whitworth and Bros (Robinson and H) SJ
 Q B 249 Baister (Williamson, H and Co) v Scriven (Marson and D) SJ
 Ex 250 Raphael (W B Harte) v Osmond and Co (Bartlett and G)
 Q B 251 Anglo-Deutsche Bank in Hamburg (Hollams, Son and C) v Hagedorn and Co (Deane, C and Co) SJ
 C P 252 Norris (Pyke and M) v Hopcraft and Co (J Rae)
 Q B 253 Hornbuckle (Pitman and L) v Noble and ors (J Holder)
 Q B 254 Weir (Ford, L and B) v Hart (Fisher, M and Co)
 Q B 255 Howes (Simpson and C) v Shaw (West, K A and Co) SJ
 C P 256 Berla, Cottingham and Co (Norton, R and Co) v E Pella and Co (Lowless and Co), commission SJ
 Q B 257 Gidney, Clark and Co (G Kebbell) v Hall (J S Kisch)
 Q B 258 Steibel Bros (Hollams, Son and C) v Pitman (Waltons, B and W), stayed SJ
 Q B 259 J Chabot and Co (Same) v Bischoff (Same), commission SJ
 C P 260 Fowler (W Carpenter and Sons) v Scratchley (T Noton)
 Q B 261 Scantlebury (Evans and E) v Goodlake (F L Soames) SJ
 C P 262 Saul (W Shearman) v Elsdon (Wood and H)
 Ex 263 Miller and anr (Miller and M) v Davies (J M Green)
 Ex 264 Reed (Curtis and B) v Arrib (Wild, B and B) SJ
 C P 265 Martin and ors (C W Stevens) v Bruges (Abrahams and B) SJ
 Q B 266 Hyde (F Bradley) v Brown (Proctor and A)
 Q B 267 Duffy (Same) v Davids and anr (H C Godfrey)
 C P 268 Rousenburgh (Noon and C) v Cadusch and Wife (Buchanan and R)
 Q B 269 Gun Cotton Co, limd (Nash and F) v Diamond Rock Boring Co, limd (Norton, R and Co) SJ
 Q B 270 Cheston (Cheston and Sons) v Davis (C H Pullen)
 Ex 271 Turner and anr (Hogan and H) v Corker and anr (Peacock and G)
 Ex 272 Marshall (W R Preston) v The Globe Cement Co (Fitz Payne)
 Ex 273 Brown (R S Fraser) v Nickols (J Scarlett)
 Q B 274 Abdy, Bart (Birch, I and H) v Cornelius (R J Bowerman) SJ
 Q B 275 Joseph and Wife (Evans and E) v Metropolitan Ry Co (Burroughs) SJ
 Ex 276 Honey (F A H Terrell) v Humphrys (Western and Son)
 Ex 277 Taylor (H W Christmas) v Giles (W T Hamlin)
 Ex 278 Gartell (Same) v Norris (W B Abbott)
 Ex 279 Parker (E J Synder and Son) v Sandford (E Beal)
 Q B 280 Jones (Elmslie, F and S) v T Robinson and Son (W Story)
 C P 281 Jones (Digby and J) v Williamson (H W Chatterton)
 Q B 282 Eliot (Stokes, S and S) v Greenhow and Co (Billinghurst and W) SJ
 C P 283 Beard and anr (Gush and P) v Mordaunt and anr (Williamson, H and Co)
 Q B 284 Frankenberger (Goldberg and L) v The Artizans, Labourers and General Dwellings Co limd (Ashurst, M and Co)
 C P 285 Harris (J Croft) v Petherick and anr (Walker, T B and W)
 Q B 286 Schwarze and wife (Goldberg and L) v Great Northern Ry Co (Nelson, B and N) SJ
 C P 287 Cory and ors (Farnfields) v Freeman (Pritchard and Sons)
 C P 288 Lincoln (Herbert and Kent) v Ryde and Newport Ry Co (Porter and S)
 Q B 289 Shepherd and Co (J and R Cole) v Waymouth (Blackford and Co)
 Q B 290 Lindsey (Law, H and H) v Borgen (G S Warmington) SJ
 C P 291 Rodway (F J and G J Braikenridge) v Beavers (Waltons, B and W) SJ
 Q B 292 Tasker (Whyte, C and P) v Tasker (E S Cavell)
 Ex 293 Boyd and Co (Rooks and Co) v Day (G Lucas)
 Ex 294 Candy and Co (Same) v Same (Same)
 Ex 295 Same (Same) v Same (Same)
 Ex 296 Kerr (Roy and C) v Done (H C Morris) SJ
 C P 297 Wymer (Wright and Law) v Sheather (J Bowen May) SJ
 Ex 298 Staines (H W Christmas) v F Metropolitan Tram Co (H C Godfrey) SJ
 Ex 299 Forrester and Wife (Same) v Same (Same) SJ
 Ex 300 Ellis (Same) v North Western Ry Co (R F Roberts) SJ
 Ex 301 Miller (Miller and M) v Dance (G Badham)
 C P 302 Ward (R Bridger) v Hunter (Sharpe and U)
 C P 303 Bonas (Johnson, B, and A) v Peruvian Guano Co, limd (J B Batton) SJ
 C P 304 Dixon (Argles and A) v Hope (Gregory and Co) SJ
 C P 305 Solomon and anr (Pyke and M) v Australian Mortgage Co (R Miller and W) SJ
 Ex 306 Bennett and Co, limd (Renshaw and R) v Brooke, sued, &c. (Whites, R and Co)

Q B 307 Fox and Bousfield (J Rae) v Hardy (Byrne and L)
Ex 308 Garnier (W S Harris) v White (Angell and I Terry)
Ex 309 Vickers and anr (W Tanner) v Bird and ors (Wilde, B M and W), stayed
Ex 310 Gardner (Randall and A) v Withernsea Pier, &c, Co (Milne, R and M)
Q B 311 Penley (C Fitch) v Swanborough (Lewis and L)
Ex 312 Parrack (Evans and E) Robbins (C F Yorke)
Ex 313 Minto (J S Lickorish) v London Tramway Co, limited (H C Godfray) SJ

SALE OF ENSUING WEEK.

March 26.—MESSRS. EDWIN FOX & BOUSFIELD, at the Mart, at 2 p.m., freehold and leasehold properties (see advertisements, this week, page 4, and March 8, page 4).

BIRTHS, MARRIAGES, AND DEATHS.**BIRTH.**

GRAHAM.—Mar. 18, at Fairfield House, Putney, the wife of William Graham, barrister-at-law of a son.

MARRIAGE.

WALKER—TRAVIS.—Mar. 14, at St. Catherine's Church, Ventnor, Isle of Wight, James William Walker, LL.B., barrister-at-law, assistant-judge and judge of sessions, Satara, Bombay Presidency, to Anne Charlotte, daughter of S. H. Travis, police magistrate of Hull.

DEATHS.

DINGWALL.—March 13, at 28, Sutherland-gardens, W., Arthur Dingwall, advocate, late of Edinburgh, aged 81.

Lewis.—March 13, George Coleman Hamilton Lewis, of No. 20, Woburn-place, Russell-square, solicitor, aged 73.

PARKER.—March 17, Arnold Parker, solicitor, Kenyon House, Brincliffe, Sheffield, aged 45.

WHITEHURST.—March 13, at Burwood Lodge, West Brixton, S.W., Charles Howard Whitehurst, Q.C.

PUBLIC COMPANIES.

March 20, 1879.

GOVERNMENT FUNDS.

3 per Cent. Consols, '97	Annuities, April, '88, '91
Ditto for Account, Apr. 3, '97	Do. (Red Sec. T.) Aug. 1908
Do. 3 per Cent. Reduced, '95	Ex Bills, £1000, 2½ per C. 12 pm.
New 3 per Cent., '95	Ditto, £500, Do. 12 pm.
Do. 3½ per Cent., Jan. '94	£100 & £500, 12 pm.
Do. 2½ per Cent., Jan. '94	Bank of England Stock, 256 xd
Annuities, Jan. '96	Ditto for Account.

INDIAN GOVERNMENT SECURITIES.

Ind. Etks., 5 per Cent., July, '80, '03	Euf. Pr. 5 per Cent., May, '81
Ditto for Account,	Ditto Debentures, 4 per Cent.,
Ditto 4 per Cent., Oct. '88, 1000	April, '94
Ditto, ditto, Certificates	Do. Do., 5 per Cent., Aug. '73
Ditto Enforced Ppr., 4 per Cent.	Do. Bonds, 4 per Cent. £1000
-2nd Euf. Pr., 5 per C., Jan. '72	Ditto, ditto, under £1000

RAILWAY STOCK.

Railways.	Paid. Closing Price.
Stock Bristol and Exeter	100 —
Stock Caledonian	100 96
Stock Glasgow and South-Western	100 84
Stock Great Eastern Ordinary Stock	100 53½
Stock Great Northern	100 114
Stock Do. A Stock*	100 114
Stock Great Southern and Western of Ireland	100 110
Stock Great Western—Original	100 94
Stock Lancashire and Yorkshire	100 117½
Stock London, Brighton, and South Coast	100 122
Stock London, Chatham, and Dover	100 25½
Stock London and North-Western	100 139½
Stock London and South Western	100 129½
Stock Manchester, Sheffield, and Lincoln	100 71½ n
Stock Metropolitan	100 111½
Stock Do., District	100 60½
Stock Midland	100 123
Stock North British	100 82½
Stock North Eastern	100 134
Stock North London	100 162
Stock North Staffordshire	100 53
Stock South Devon	100 —
Stock South-Eastern	100 123

* A receives no dividend until 6 per cent. has been paid to B.

LONDON GAZETTES.**Professional Partnerships Dissolved.**

TUESDAY, Mar. 14, 1879.

Oxley, John, Robert Pashley, and Peter Bancroft Coward, Rotherham, York, Solicitors. March 1.

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Harrison, Thomas, Clement Walmsley, and Alfred Harrison, Manchester, Solicitors. Feb 26

Winding up of Joint Stock Companies.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

FRIDAY, March 11, 1879.

Argyll Coal and Cannel Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar. 11, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall on Mar. 28. Quilfords and Chancery lane, agents for Darbshire and Tatham, Manchester, solicitors for the petitioners

Britannia Cotton Spinning and Manufacturing Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar. 10, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall on Mar. 28. Brownlow and Howe, Bedford row, agents for Worth, Rochdale, solicitors for the petitioners

Brooks, Greenwood, and Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before Apr. 15, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to Edward Woodcock, Rochdale. Tuesday, Apr. 29 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

General Banking Company, Limited.—V.C. Bacon has fixed Mar. 24 at 12 at his chambers as the time and place for the appointment of an official liquidator

Gothenborg Commercial Company, Limited.—V.C. Malins, has by an order dated Feb 7 appointed Robert Palmer Harding, Old Jewry, and Theodor Voigt, to be official liquidators

Grimsby Abbey Walk Building Company, Limited.—By an order made by V.C. Bacon, dated Mar 8, it was ordered that the above company be wound up. Williamson and Co, Sherborne lane, agents for Stephenson and Mountain, Great Grimsby, solicitors for the petitioners

Healey Spinning Company, Limited.—The M.R. has by an order dated Jan 24, appointed Roger Tatham, Rochdale, to be official liquidator Hull Central Drapery Company, Limited.—Petition that the voluntary winding up of the above company may be continued, presented March 11, directed to be heard before V.O. Hall on March 23. Gunliffe and Co, Chancery lane, agents for Todd, Kingston-upon-Hull, solicitor for the petitioners

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Syke Mill Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up, presented March 10, directed to be heard before the V.O., at the Assize Courts, Strangeways, Manchester, on March 25. Shippey and Field, Manchester, solicitors for the petitioners

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Advance Bank, Limited.—The M.R. has by an order, dated Feb 10, appointed Frederick Bertram Smart, Cannon st., to be official liquidator. Creditors are required on or before Apr. 18, to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims in the above. Friday, May 2 at 11 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

Bristol Bottle Works Company, Limited.—By an order made by V.C. Bacon, dated Mar 8, it was ordered that the voluntary winding up of the above company be continued. Godden, Lime st., agents for Murly and Sons, Bristol, solicitors for the petitioners

Cheltenham Imperial Winter Garden and Skating Rink Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 15, directed to be heard before the M.R. on Mar 29. Peacock and Goddard, South st., Gray's inn, agents for Brydges and Mellersh, Cheltenham, solicitors for the petitioners

Debenture Bond and Mortgage Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 17, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall, on Mar 28. Crook and Smith, Abchurch lane, solicitors for the petitioners

General Works Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Feb 28, directed to be heard before V.C. Bacon, on Mar 29. Seal and Greenup, George st. Mansion House, solicitors for the petitioners Healey New Mill Company, Limited.—Petition for winding up presented Mar 13, directed to be heard before V.C. Hall, on Mar 29. Clarke and Son, Sergeant's inn, Fleet st., agents for Haigh and Co, Horbury Bridge, solicitors for the petitioners

Healey Spinning Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before Apr. 22 to send their names and addresses and the particulars of their debts or claims to Roger Tatham, Rochdale. Tuesday, Apr. 29 at 12 is appointed for hearing and adjudicating upon the debts and claims

Rosedale and Ferryhill Iron Company, Limited.—Creditors are required on or before Mar 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims to George Dyson, Edward Williams, and John Marley, Royal Exchange, Middlesbrough

UNLIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Lostwithiel and Fowey Railway Company.—Petition presented Mar. 8, praying the confirmation of the scheme of arrangement between the company and their creditors, directed to be heard before Mr. Justice Fry, on Apr 4. Lambert and Co, John st., Bedford row, and Head, Exeter, solicitors for the petitioners

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Moorside Fire Brick, Tile, and Coal Company, Limited.—By an order made by the V.C., dated Mar 13, it was ordered that all further pro-

March

winding up

solicitor

Britannia F. L., Liverpool
Saffron Wa.
Saffron V.

Brook Mar.
Pollard, J.
Harping, J.
Harding,
Hill, Mary
Malins,
M. W. Wilkins
M.R. F.
Fry, T.
Reynell, S.
M.R. F.
Beverstock,
Harding,
Shut, W.
V.C. Hall
Stole, Elizabeth
Bacon
Hunt, James
V. Thorpe

Clarke, Mar.
Webster,
Greenwood

App. 15
Kellall, John
Hall, T.
Nurse, Jane
Beloe, K.
Roberts, R.
V.C. Hall
Sheppard,
Sheppard,

Allanson,
Barber
Ball, William
Edmund
Barnett, B.
and Simons
Bange, C.
Lincoln?

Bunn, The
Cartwrights,
Edmonson
Brand, J.
Co, Bishop
Buckrell,
Sparks
Bell, George
Cudmore,
Fenchur
Cox, William
the New
pot lane
Cradbtree,
Terry a
Day, Will
Foulger, V.
Gardner,
Liverpo
Gutz, Ado
and Co,
Gibbs, Ed
East Ind
Hammond
and Field
Harrison,
burne an
Harisford
gate
Hedley, S.
harras
Hindle, W.
Lancast
Hodgson,
Co, Red
Ind, Eliza
Ishmael,
st, Garri
Kew, Mar
Lambert,
wich

cessions under the order of Feb 18 be stayed, and that the voluntary winding up of the above company be continued. Mather, Liverpool, solicitor for the petitioners

Friendly Societies Dissolved.

TUESDAY, March 18, 1879.

Britannia Permanent Benevolent Society, Odd Fellows' Hall, St Ann st, Liverpool. Mar 13.
Saffron Walden Industrial and Provident Coal Supply Society, Limited, Saffron Walden, Essex. Mar 13

Creditors under Estates in Chancery.

Last Day of Proof.

FRIDAY, Mar. 14, 1879.

Brook, Mary Anne, Pettistree, Suffolk. Apr 12. Barker v. Moor, M.R. Pollard, Ipswich
Harping, John, Warble way, Wandsworth, Gent. Apr 1. Payne v. Harding, V.C. Malins. Huntley, Tooley st, Southwark Hill, Mary Frances, "Hendon Park villas. Apr 9. Richards v. Hill, V.C. Malins. Freeman, Gutter lane Ivis, William, Chepstow, Monmouth, Draper. Apr 19. Ivens v. Ivens, M.R. Evans, Chepstow Pugh, Josiah, Claines, Worcester, Gent. Apr 11. Pugh v. Clifford, M.R. Tree, Worcester
Raingill, Steven, Penley, Flint, Esq. Apr 11. Raingill v. Bannister, M.R. Farrington, Manchester
Ravenscroft, Edward, Knighton, Radnor, Station Master. Apr 15. Hardinge v. Ravenscroft, V.C. Malins. Clarke, Shrewsbury Sket, William, Birmingham, Builder. Apr 15. Freeman v. Potter, V.C. Hall, Dale, Birmingham State, Elizabeth, Bury St. Edmunds. Apr 7. Green v. King, V.C. Bacon. King, Walsham-le-Willows Hunt, James, Lickey Hills, Bromsgrove, Hotel Keeper. Apr 10. Hunt v. Thornton, V.C. Malins. Tyler, Lincoln's inn fields

TUESDAY, Mar 18, 1879.

Clarke, Mary Anne, Liverpool. Apr 18. Bagshaw v. Clarke, M.R. Webster, Liverpool Greenwood, Son, and Gardner, Batley Carr, Woollen Manufacturers. Apr 15. Ward v. Marriott, M.R. Good, Market pl, Dewsbury Keall, Joseph, Hanley, Fishmonger. Apr 15. Peake v. Keall, V.C. Hall, Tennant, Hanley Nurse, James, King's Lynn. Apr 16. Jermyn v. Nurse, V.C. Malins. King, King's Lynn Roberts, Robert, Mare st, Hackney, Gent. Apr 19. Roberts v. Roberts, V.C. Hall. Thompson and Co, road lans Sheppard, Henry, Bath, Licensed Victualler. Apr 12. Morrisey v. Sheppard, V.C. Malins. Gibbs, jun, Bath

Creditors under 22 & 23 Vict. cap. 35.

Last Day of Claim.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Allanson, William, Cloughton, York, Farmer. Mar 29. Richardson, Scarborough Ball, William, George, Oundle, Northampton, Draper. April 19. Edmonds and Pooley, Oundle Barnes, Harriett, Gloucester place, Portman sq. April 18. Emanuel and Simmonds, Finsbury circus Baugh, Benjamin, Stanhope st, Euston rd, Builder. May 12. Nicholls, Lincoln's-in-fields Baines, Thomas, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Retired Hair Dresser, April 30. Charliss and Co, Newcastle-upon-Tyne Board, Edward, Edmonton, Middlesex, Farmer. May 11. Richardson and Foxwell, Gt Hadham Brand, James Ainsworth, Old Broad st, Gent, June 16. Trinders and Co, Bishopgate st Within Buckrell, George, Middle Chinnock, Somerset, Yeoman. April 15. Sparks and Blake, Cuckoo Lane Ball, George, Brighton, Grocer. June 24. King and Son, Brighton Goldsmith, Henry, Frensham, Surrey, Gent. April 19. Cann and Son, Farnborough Cox, William, Fishmonger's place, Wood Green, late in the employ of the New River Company. May 1. Nutt and Co, Erbachert, Philpot lane Cranree, John, Manningham, Bradford, out of business. May 1. Terry and Rotkin, Bradford Day, William, Brighton, Gent. April 30. King and Son, Brighton Poulier, William, Ipswich, Farmer. April 15. Aldrons, Ipswich Gardner, John, jun., Liverpool, upholsterer. April 24. Miller and Co, Liverpool Geitz, Adolphus, Bradford, York, Stuff Manufacturer. May 31. Wood and Co, Bradford Gibbs, Elizabeth Sarah, Bellfield st, Brixton. April 15. Humphreys, East India-chambers, Leadenhall st Hammond, George Thomas, Canterbury, Gent. April 14. Plummer and Fielding, Canterbury Harrison, Matthew Benson, Datchett, Bucks, Esq. May 1. Swinburne and Parker, Bedford row Hartshorn, Harriett, Ramsgate, Kent. April 21. Snowden, Ramsgate Hedley, Sarah, Hexham, Northumberland. April 2. Kirssopp, Hexham Hindle, William, Shewington, Lancaster, Farmer. June 11. Whitaker, Lancaster place, Strand Holditch, Susanna Jane, Brighton, Sussex. April 14. Downing and Co, Redruth Ind, Elizabeth, Brighton. May 26. Haynes and Clifton, Romford Ishmael, John Sortan, Carnarvon, Grocer. April 1. Jones, Bridge st, Carnarvon Kew, Maria, Norwich. May 1. Meadows and Elliott, Hastings Lambert, John, Ipswich, Hardwareman. April 15. Lambert, Ipswich

Lorrimer, Thomas, Aikendale, York, Yeoman. May 1. Gill and Son, Knaresborough Milton, Edmund, High st, Poplar, Oil and Colourman. May 1. Baker and Nairne, Crosby sq. Nodes, Henry Oliver, Brecknock rd, Caernarfon rd, Gwent. April 25. Peacock, Sooth sq, Gray's inn Penrose, Robert Owst, Stamford bridge, York, Yeoman. May 1. Ware, York Phibbs, John Kingston, Sutherland gardens, Harrow rd, a Retired Colonel in Her Majesty's Indian Army. April 30. Cuff, St Martin's lane Potter, George Mitchell, Wine and Spirit Merchant, Godalming, Surrey. April 24. Potter, Farnham Rowley, Samuel, Sheffield, out of business. April 14. Rodgers and Co, Sheffield Short, Mary, Overcliffe, Gravesend. April 28. Tolhurst, Gravesend Simpson, William, Carlton-on-Trent, Nottingham, Farmer. April 9. Marshall, East Retford Snell, George, Pitton, Devon, Gent. May 1. Fulford, North Tawton Stanley, Archer, Crescent place, Mornington crescent, Artist. May 1. Bertram, Chancery lane Stevens, George Monkton, Goudhurst, Kent, Stationer. May 1. Hinde and Son, Goudhurst Tufts, George, Blenheim st, Chelsea, Gent. April 10. Eardley and Co, Charles st, St James sq Was, John Finion, Swinstead, Lincoln, Gent. August 1. Staniland and Wiggleworth, Boston Westbury, Eliza, Princes sq, Kennington. April 10. Cox, Lincoln-in-fields Whaley, Thomas, Orrell, nr Wigan, Colliery Manager. June 11. Whittaker, Lancaster place, Strand Wilshire, George, Charl st, River st, Myddleton sq, Esq. April 30. Budd and Son, Bedford row Woodcock, Henry, Norwich Esq. May 1. Taylor and Sons, Norwich

Bankrupts.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Delvalle, Walter, Cassela road, Old Kent road, Commission Agent. Pet. March 12. Brougham, March 28 at 11.30 Fry, John William, Hanover square Club, Hanover square, Retired Colonel. Pet March 12. Brougham, March 23 at 11 Glover, Benjamin, Maltby st, Bermondsey, Wheelwright. Pet March 11. Murray, March 26 at 1 Golting, Alfred, Cloak lane, Cannon st, Merchant. Pet March 11. Murray. March 26 at 2 Schleifer, Manrico, King st, Merchant. Pet March 11. Murray. March 28 at 11

To Surrender in the Country.

Carr, Alban Edward, Maidstone, Builder. Pet March 11. Scudamore, Maidstone, March 27 at 11 Bear, John, and Edwin Fox, Manchester, Drapers. Pet March 10. Lister, Manchester, March 27 at 11 Gibson, Watson, Harrogate, York, Poultry Dealer. Pet March 11. Perkins, York, March 26 at 10 Perkins, Solomon Myer, Newcastle-on-Tyne, Dealer in Works of Art. Pet March 11. Doggett, Newcastle, March 25 at 11 Gibbons, Elizabeth, Brynirion Rhwbryfdir, Merioneth, Bootmaker. Pet March 11. Jones, Bangor, March 28 at 2 James, Frederick Wathen Marge's, Cheltenham, out of business. Pet March 10. Gale, Cheltenham, March 25 at 11 Levitt, George, Bradford, York, Grocer. Pet March 7. Robinson, Bradford, March 25 at 9 Mackay, Robert, Cheetham, Manchester. Pet March 11. Hulton, Salford, March 26 at 11 Mansell, Thomas, Reading, Lieutenant-Colonel. Pet March 8. Collins, Reading, March 29 at 2 Sanderson, Thomas, Warenford, Northumberland, Farmer. Pet March 11. Daggett, Newcastle, March 25 at 11 Thomas, John Saunders, Ferry-side, Carmarthen, Commercial Traveller. Pet March 10. Lloyd, Carmarthen, March 25 at 12 Vincent, George, Ashford, Kent, Licensed Victualler. Pet March 8. Furley, Canterbury, March 28 at 3

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Under the Bankruptcy Act, 1869.

Creditors must forward their proofs of debts to the Registrar.

To Surrender in London.

Boultbee, Herbert Charles, Sun street, Railway Guide Publisher. Pet Mar 13. Hazlitt, Apr 2 at 11. Carpenter, John Howard, Westminster Chambers, Victoria street, Engineering Agent. Pet Mar 13. Hazlitt. Apr 2 at 11 Schaefer, Ulrich, Leadenhall street, Steam Ship Broker. Pet Mar 13. Hazlitt. Apr 4 at 11

To Surrender in the Country.

Baxter, Abraham, Abdy-de-la-Zouch, Leicester, Tailor. Pet Mar 13. Hubbert, Burton-upon-Trent, Apr 1 at 1.30. Cuff, Ann, Trowbridge, Wilts, out of business. Pet Mar 15. Smith, Bath, Mar 29 at 12.30. Grey, Fussey, Great Grimby, Builder. Pet Mar 12. Daubney, Great Grimby, Mar 29 at 12. Inch, Christopher, Tiverton, Devon, Hackney Carriage Proprietor. Pet Mar 14. Daw, Exeter, Mar 31 at 3. Kingsland, Alfred, Birmingham, Draper. Pet Mar 13. Cole, Birmingham, Apr 4 at 2. McLeelan, John, Leeds, out of business. Pet Mar 11. Marshall, Leeds, Apr 9 at 11.

Nettleton, Joseph, and James Carey Nettleton, Manchester, Tailor. Pet Mar 14. Lister, Manchester, Mr 31 at 11.
 Roberts, William, Ewerby, Lincoln, Farmer. Pet Mar 12. Staniland, Boston, Apr 3 at 12.30.
 Smith, Peter, Bentworth, Hants, Farmer. Pet Mar 12. Godwin, Winchester, Apr 2 at 2.
 Spouse, George, Ilkeston, Derby, Cab Proprietor. Pet Mar 14. Weller, Derby, Apr 2 at 13.30.
 Stubley, William, Earls Heaton, nr Dewsbury, Innkeeper. Pet Mar 13. Nelson, Dewsbury, Apr 3 at 3.
 Thornton, Azariah, Hunset, Leeds, Engineers' Foreman. Pet Mar 12. Marshall, Leeds, Apr 9 at 11.
 Whitaker, Thomas, Hanley, Stafford, Butty Collier. Pet Feb 26. Tennant, Hanley, Mar 31 at 11.

BANKRUPTCIES ANNULLED.

FRIDAY, Mar. 14, 1879.

Charles, William, Aston-juxta-Birmingham, Oil Merchant. March 11
 Irving, Robert, Asparia, Caversham, Tailor. March 10
 Mason, Alfred, Bradford, Advertising Agent. March 7
 Miller, Thomas, Preston, Lancaster, Beerseller. March 11

Liquidations by Arrangement.

FIRST MEETINGS OF CREDITORS.

FRIDAY, March 14, 1879.

Adams, Charles, York terrace, Finsbury park, House Decorator. March 24 at 3 at offices of Miles, King Edward st, Newgate st.
 Alder, William, Cymar, Royal st, Lambeth, Cheesemonger. March 28 at 12 at offices of Underpump, Gray's inn square
 Aldeous, John Thomas, Lee, Kent, Ironmonger. March 26 at 2.30 at Mason's Hall Tavern, Masons' avenue, Basinghall st, Bishopsgate, Essex st, Strand.
 Allen, John, Great Dunham, Norfolk, Farmer. March 24 at 2 at offices of Stanley, Bank plain, Norwich.
 Ambler, Walter Bailey, Keighley, York, Worsted Spinner. March 26 at 11 at offices of Wood and Co, Commercial Bank buildings, Bradford.
 Armstrong, William, Kirkbride, Cumberland, Innkeeper. March 26 at 11 at offices of McKeever, Wigton.
 Bacon, Charles, Brighton, Auctioneer. April 2 at 3 at offices of Nye, North st, Brighton.
 Baker, John Minto, Kingston-upon-Hull, Rulymann. March 26 at 11 at offices of Spur, Market st, Kingston-upon-Hull.
 Baker, Thomas, Lladrudno, Carnarvon, Master Mariner. April 3 at 11 at offices of Belfrage and Middleton, John st, Bedford row.
 Beck, Edwin, Leamington, Warwick, Baker. March 31 at 1 at Great Western Hotel, High st, Leamington. Corbett, Worcester.
 Betts, James, Swinsehead Fen Houses, Lincoln, Farmer. March 25 at 3 at offices of Snaith, New st, Boston.
 Bidder, Bartholomew Parker, Waunceirch, Glamorgan, Colliery Proprietor. March 25 at 11 at Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Smith and Lewis, Swansea.
 Bird, William, Cannock, Stafford, Grocer. March 27 at 11 at offices of Rhodes, Queen st, Wolverhampton.
 Blackwell, Frederick William Burnham, Stretford, Lancaster, Commercial Traveller. March 28 at 11 at offices of Hargreaves, The lane, Manchester.
 Bloomfield, Henry, and James Bloomfield, Gateshead, Durham, Potato Merchants. March 27 at 4 at offices of Dix, Wellington chambers, Gateshead.
 Bowker, Matthew Edmondson, Ardwood, near Manchester, Dyewood Grind. April 1 at 3 at offices of Simpson and Hockin, Mount st, Albert square, Manchester.
 Bowring, Thomas, Dewsbury Moor, near Dewsbury, out of business. March 31 at 10.30 at offices of Stapleton, Union st, Dewsbury.
 Brenhol, Harris Davis, Birmingham, Wholesale Jeweller. March 25 at 13 at Queen's Hotel, Birmingham. Hodgson and Haigh, Birmingham.
 Brookshaw, Thomas, Crewe, Chester, Draper. March 27 at 11 at Adelphi Hotel, Crewe. Pointon, Crewe.
 Brown, William, Great Driffield, York, Grocer. March 28 at 3 at Keys Hotel, Great Driffield. Foster and Co, Great Driffield.
 Bruton, Francis James, Manchester, Ink Manufacturer. March 26 at 3 at Mitre Hotel, Cathedral gates, Manchester. Heath and Sons, Manchester.
 Bulmer, Thomas, Easington, Durham, Brewer. March 25 at 3 at offices of Robinson and Co, Fawcett st, Sunderland.
 Bunting, James, Liverpool, out of business. March 31 at 3 at offices of Connor, Victoria st, Liverpool. Browne, Liverpool.
 Baynard, Harry, Tooley st, Southwark, Rope and Sack Manufacturer. April 4 at 2 at Cannon st, Hotel, Lowe, Scott's yard, Bush lane.
 Champ, James, Crampton, Chester, shoe Dealer. March 31 at 12 at Townhall passage, Crampton.
 Cleves, Charles, Canton, Cardiff, Publican. March 31 at 11 at offices of Jones, Philharmonic chambers, St Mary st, Cardiff.
 Cole, John Thomas, Cardiff, Bookseller. March 28 at 12 at offices of Morgan and Scott, High st, Cardiff.
 Collings, John, Barret, Dolverton, Somerset, Surgeon. March 28 at 1 at Lamb Inn, Dolverton, Warren.
 Comstoy, James Freeman, Prescott, Lancaster, Boot Dealer. April 2 at 3 at offices of Lupton, Harrington st, Liverpool.
 Cooke, David Frederick, St Thomas's road, South Hackney, Builder. April 4 at 3 at Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, Boyce and Ridley, Brook st.
 Cotrell, Charles, Balsall Heath, Worcester, Builder. March 26 at 12 at Queen's Hotel, Birmingham. Jeff, Birmingham.
 Cowburn, William, and James Cowburn, Radcliffe, Lancaster, Cotton Manufacturers. March 28 at 3 at offices of Bilton and Grundy, Princess st, Manchester.
 Carson, Henry, Coventry, Toy Dealer. March 27 at 2 at offices of Dancer, Upper Well st, Coventry.
 Dancer, Thomas, Grafton, Hereford, out of business. March 28 at 4 at offices of Corner, High st, Hereford.
 Darley, Alfred, Gooe, York, Builder. March 27 at 3 at offices of Pease, Banks terrace, Gooe, Hind, Gooe.
 Darvill, Thomas, Bradford, York, Hay and Straw Dealer. March 26 at 3 at offices of Beverley, Hulme gate, Bradford.

Davies, John, Great Chapel st, Westminster, Licensed Victualler. April 1 at 3 at offices of Davie, New Inn, Strand.
 Davies, Maurice Caerwys, Montgomery, Tailor. April 3 at 11 at offices of Powell, Broad st, Newtown.
 Davison, Thomas, Middlesborough, Painter. March 26 at 10 at offices of Ward, Albert road, Middlesborough.
 Dean, James, Derby, Elastic Web Manufacturer. April 3 at 3 at offices of Robotham, St Alkmund's churchyard, Derby.
 Denbigh, James, Padiham, Lancaster, Manufacturer. March 24 at 1 at Bull Hotel, Burnley. Blackway, Manchester.
 Denhill, Will and Parsons, Blenavon, Monmouth, Innkeeper. March 28 at 2 at offices of Watkins, Club chambers, Pontypool.
 Dougill, John, Bewdley, York, Innkeeper. March 25 at 12 at offices of Bateson and Hutchinson, Harrogate.
 Dyer, John Charles, West Hartlepool, Durham, Grocer. March 27 at 12 at offices of Todd, Surtees st, West Hartlepool.
 Eaton, Henry, Crave, Chester, Earthenware Dealer. March 28 at 11 at Adelphi Hotel, Crewe. Pointon, Crewe.
 Edmonson, Adam, Newton Heath, Lancaster, Joiner. Mar 28 at 2 at the Royal Oak Inn, Oldham rd, Newton Heath. Bradbury, Ashton-under-Lyne.
 Edwards, John William, Monkwearmouth, Sunderland, Oil Clothing Manufacturer. Mar 6 at 12 at offices of Wright, John st, Sunderland.
 Entwistle, Eli, Heaton, Lancaster, Beerseller. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Taylor and Sons, Mawdsley st, Bolton.
 Evans, Edward, Aston-juxta-Birmingham, Miller. Mar 28 at 3 at the Queen's Hotel, Stephenson place, Birmingham. Barlow and Co.
 Eyre, Edward Cooke, Rosemary rd, Peckham, Grocer. Mar 26 at 3 at 1, Trinity sq, Southwark. Hicklin and Washington.
 Fogg, Charles, Fyfield, Essex, Farmer. Mar 28 at 11.30 at offices of Robert Blyth, Grange court, Chelmsford. Smith, High Ongar.
 Forster, Charles, Marske-by-the-sea, York, Stationer. Mar 24 at 2 at offices of Teale, Albert rd, Middlesborough.
 Garrett, Samuel, Boosebeck, near Guisborough, Plasterer. Mar 27 at 1 at offices of Buchanan, Townhill, Guisborough.
 Gilbert, George, New Broad st, Merchant. Mar 27 at 2 at offices of Mossop, Cannon st.
 Gilhume, William, Embleton, Northumberland, Butcher. Mar 26 at 3 at the Star Inn, Alnwick. Nelson, Sunderland.
 Glendening, William, Redruth, Cornwall, General Dealer. Mar 27 at 3 at the Bullers' Arms Hotel, Redruth. Holloway, Redruth.
 Glover, Robert Henry, Chester, Auctioneer. Mar 28 at 2.30 at offices of Bridgeman and Co, Westminster buildings, Newgate st, Chester.
 Goulding, Matthew, Howden, Farmer. Mar 21 at 3 at the Red Lion Hotel, Howden. Wright, Selby.
 Grantham, Thomas William, Kingston-upon-Hull, Boot Maker. Mar 27 at 11 at offices of Pettigell, County buildings, Land of Green Ginger, Kingston-upon-Hull.
 Gregson, Thomas, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Watchmaker. Mar 26 at 11 at offices of Keenlyside and Co, St John's Chambers, Grainger st West, Newcastle-upon-Tyne.
 Griffith, Owen Piston, Brynhyfryd, nr Swansea, Grocer. Mar 21 at 3 at offices of Jefferis, Prospect place, Swansea.
 Grindrod, John, Staffs, Lancaster, Traveller for a Stone Merchant. Mar 27 at 3 at the Commercial Hotel, Brown st, Manchester. Duckworth.
 Grundy, William Isaac, Kingston-upon-Hull, Haberdasher. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Singleton and Martinson, Exchange buildings, Bowalley alley, Kingston-upon-Hull.
 Harvey, William James, and Thomas Stovel, Bristol, Builders. Mar 27 at 12 at offices of Tricks and Co, City chambers, Nicholas st, Bristol.
 Hawling, Thomas, Boston, Lincoln, Bootmaker. Mar 27 at 11 at offices of Thomas, Emery lane, Boston.
 Hays, Francis Henry, Gilbert's passage, Lincoln's Inn, Licensed Victualler. Mar 24 at 4 at offices of James Shiers, Burleigh st, Strand.
 Hicken, John, and James Hicken, Bulwell, Nottingham, Wine Retailer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Farmer, Queen Victoria st.
 Hoooton, Richard Pawson, East Dulwich, Surry, out of business. Mar 25 at 10 at the Inns of Court Hotel, High Holborn.蒙古 and Co, Gray's inn sq.
 Honkin, John, Bridgend, Glamorgan, Licensed Victualler. Mar 26 at 1 at the Castle Hotel, Bridgend. Randall, Bridgend.
 Hopkins, John, Lancaster, Birkenhead, Chester, Grocer. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Thompson and Simm, Hamilton sq, Birkenhead. Thompson, Birkenhead.
 Howe, Thomas Lenthwaite, Cardiff, Photographer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Williams and Williams, Church st, Cardiff. Jacob, Cardiff.
 Hudson, Samuel, Belgrave, Leicester, Shoe Manufacturer. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester.
 Hughes, Thomas, Llandudno, Carnarvon, out of business. Mar 21 at 3 at offices of Ellis, Eastgate st, Chester.
 Humphrey, Jonathan, Liverpool, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Gibson and Co, South John st, Liverpool. Cotton, Liverpool.
 Hunt, Alfred, Bruton, Somerset, Farmer. Mar 28 at 1 at the Wellington Hotel, Bruton. Benson and Carpenter, Bristol.
 Hunt, Thomas, Liverpool, Ironmonger. Mar 27 at 2 at offices of Quiggin, Brunswick st, Liverpool.
 Ingoldby, Robert Smith, Louth, Lincoln, Builder. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Faulkner and Owen, Eastgate, Louth.
 James, James, Carnarvon, Draper. Mar 24 at 2 at the Waterloo Hotel, Piccadilly, Manchester (and not at the Wellington Hotel, as before advertised). Williams and Hughes.
 Jeffreys, Alfred, Greystoke place, Westminster, Licensed Victualler. Mar 22 at 1 at the Inns of Court Hotel, Holborn. Hope, Portugal st, Lincoln's inn fields.
 Jolly, Anthony, Sunderland, Bootmaker. Mar 26 at 11 at offices of Tilley, West Sunniside, Sunderland.

Jones, John, Blaina, Mon, Draper. April 2 at 3 at offices of Crowther and Co, York st, Manchester. Rico-Harris, Tredegar
Jones, Philip, Swansea, Builder. Mar 27 at 11 at offices of Smith and Lowe, Cambrian place, Swansea.

King, James, Ladbroke grove rd, Notting hill, Boarding House keeper. Mar 26 at 3 at 39, Borough High st, Southwark. Finch, Bridge chamber, Borough High st.

Kitchen, Joseph, London, Fudgey, York, Draper. Mar 29 at 10.30 at offices of Cross, Telegraph chamber, Market st, Bradford.

Knott, William, Wigan, Foreman Moulder. Mar 29 at 10 at offices of Low, King st, Wigan.

Lanbridge, Henry Charles, Hastings, Ironmonger. Mar 31 at 11 at the Bridge Hotel, London Bridge. Langham, Hastings

Laval, Marc, and Harry Millward Wright, Thavies inn, Watch Manufacturers. Mar 31 at 4 at offices of Foreman and Co, Gresham st, Attenborough, St Paul's churchyard.

Leonard, Henry William, Whitsney, Hereford, Timber Merchant. Mar 25 at 3 at 37, High Town, Hereford.

Lock, Charles, Brixton, Isle of Wight, Farmer. Mar 27 at 3 at the Warburton's Hotel, Quay st, Newport. Hooper, Newport

Lockwood, Mark, Ossett, or Wakefield, Joiner. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Burton, Wood st, Wakefield.

Mawrison, John, Blackburn, Confectioner. Mar 26 at 3 at offices of Holland, Northgate, Blackburn.

Marley, Sarah Jane, Tonquay, Draper. Mar 27 at 4 at Queen Victoria buildings, High st, Bristol, Lindop.

Marshall, George, Meadow row, New Kent rd, Refreshment Contractor. Mar 29 at 2 at offices of Swan, Camberwell New rd, Surrey

Mckendrick, Joseph, Woodhouse, Leeds, Currier. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Tenant, Albion st, Leeds.

McKenzie, Roderick, Bristol, Draper. Mar 24 at 12 at offices of Tribe and Co, Albion chambers, Bristol. Benson and Carpenter, Bristol

Meech, William, Weymouth, Dorset, Nurseryman. Mar 31 at 11 at the Golden Lion Hotel, Weymouth, Howard, Weymouth

Merk, William Joseph, Cogenhoe rd, Stoke Newington, Wholesale Fancy Stationer. Mar 31 at 2 at the Mason's Hall Tavern, Mason's Avenue, Waring, Borough High st, Southwark.

Mellor, Charles Alfred, Thongbridge, nr Huddersfield, Woollen Cloth Manufacturer. Mar 23 at 11 at offices of Laycock and Co, Lion arcade, Huddersfield.

Miles, Charles Croydon, Wigmore, Hereford, Butcher. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Moore, Corn sq, Leominster

Miles, Job, Treforest, Glamorgan, Licensed Victualler. Mar 27 at 10.15 at 6, Church st, Pontypridd. Thomas

Mills, Thomas, and Thomas Mills, Jun, Chippenham, Wilts, Drapers. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Keary and Co, Chippenham

Michelson, Alexander, Brighton, Pawnbroker. Mar 27 at 1 at 93, Cheapside. Lamb and Evert, Brighton

Moore, Ezra, and Zacharias Moore, Bingley, York, Worsted Spinners. Mar 25 at 2.30 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel lane, Bradford

Moorhouse, James, Manchester, Flock Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Boot and Edgar, Booth st, Manchester

Morgan, Matthew, Bishop Auckland, Wine Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at the White Lion Hotel, Bishop Auckland. Proud, Bishop Auckland

Morgan, John, Ystradfaes, Carmarthen, Farmer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Davies, Spian st, Carmarthen

Morton, Sydney, and Arthur Tate, Bradford, Stuff Manufacturers. Mar 24 at 11 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford

Neil, Robert, Upper Thame st, Paper Makers' Agent. April 2 at 3 at offices of Stopher and Rundell, Coleman st

Nesbit, Thomas Oswald, Whitley, Northumberland, out of business. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Mather and Co, Bank chambers, Moulsecoomb st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Newport, William, Frodsham, Chester, Hotel Keeper. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Ashton and Garratt, Frodsham

Ogden, John, Cresswell st, Mancie Manufacturer. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Everett and Smith, Cheapside. Philip, Walbrook

Owen, George, Rhymney, Monmouth, Boot and Shoe Maker. Mar 28 at 3 at the Queen's Hotel, Newport. Harris, Tredegar

Oxley, James, Frome, Somerset, Vat Maker. Mar 28 at 1 at the Grand Hotel, Broad st, Bristol. Crotwell and Co, Frome

Palmer, Arthur, Leicester, Machinist. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester

Pane, Henry, Lozells, Birmingham, Boot Factor. Mar 25 at 11 at offices of Jaques, Cherry st, Birmingham

Parker, Joseph, Joseph Parker, Jun, Matthew Parker, and Alfred Parker, Batley, York, Woollen Manufacturers. Mar 28 at 2 at the Batley Station Hotel, Batley. Scholesfield and Taylor

Parks, Joseph, Wincham, Chester, Boiler Maker. April 1 at 3 at offices of Addleshaw and Warburton, Norfolk st, Manchester

Paterson, James, Hadley Green, Builder. Mar 24 at 2 at the Red Lion Hotel, Barnet. George, Barnet

Faynter, Emily, Woolwich, Kent, Fancy Stationer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Aird, Easchep

Pearce, Joseph, Leeds, Woollen Manufacturer. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Walker, South Parade, Leeds

Pickard, George, Cardiff, Grocer. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Langley, Saint Mary st, Cardiff

Pike, James William, Poole Hill, Bournemouth, Licensed Victualler. Mar 25 at 2 at the Pembroke Hotel, Bournemouth. Travani, Poole

Price, Thomas, Bucknill, Salop, Farmer. April 2 at 12 at 9, Corfe st, Ludlow. Marston

Probyn, Henry Thomas, Tredworth, Gloucester, Grocer. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Haines, Westgate Chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester

Rocco, Samuel, Hatton, Chester, Farmer. Mar 24 at 2.30 at offices of Bridgeman and Co, Westminster Buildings, Newgate st, Chester

Rees, John Price, Old st, St Luke's, Looking Glass Manufacturer. Mar 21 at 3 at offices of Cooper, Chancery Lane

Richards, John, Aberystwith, Cardigan, brewer's Foreman. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Jones, Great Dark Gate st, Aberystwith

Richardson, Samuel, High Concliffe, Durham, Lime Merchant. Mar 26 at 11 at offices of Dunn and Watson, Mechanics' yard, Darlington

Richardson, Thomas Bentick, Bury St Edmunds, Professor of Music. Mar 27 at 12.30 at the Guildhall, Bury St Edmunds. Salmon and Son, Bury St Edmunds

Eliot, John Benjamin, Nottingham, Slater. Mar 23 at 12 at offices of Book, Middle pavement, Nottingham

Roberts, Thomas, Festiniog, Merioneth, Tailor. Mar 26 at 1 at the Albion Hotel, Chester. Ellis, Festiniog

Robershaw, Eliza, Elland, Halifax, Pot Maker. Mar 26 at 3 at the Pack Horse Inn, Huddersfield. Franklin and Humphreys, Halifax

Robinson, George, and Joseph Fielding, Bradford, York, Dyers. Mar 26 at 10.30 at offices of Peel and Gaunt, Chapel lane, Bradford

Roditi, Ernesto Antonio, North Shields, Ship Chandler. Mar 26 at 2 at offices of Wallace, Nation chambers, Pilgrim st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Roebuck, William, Cardiff, Grocer. April 2 at 12.30 at offices of Tribe and Co, Albion chamber, Bristol. Morgan and Scott, Cardiff

Roper, William, Laindon, Essex, Farmer. Mar 26 at 3 at the White Hart Hotel, Romford. Surridge and Co, Romford

Rowland, Charlie Samuel, Kettlesdon, Suffolk, Dealer. April 3 at 12 at the King's Head Hotel, Stowmarket. Hayward and Sons, Needham Market

Sadler, William, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Ironmonger. Mar 25 at 2 at offices of Hoyle and Co, Collingwood st, Newcastle-upon-Tyne

Shepherd, Richard, Luton, Bedford, Licensed Victualler. Mar 21 at 12 at the King's Arms Hotel, Ampthill. Shepherd and Ewen, Luton

Sheppard, Thomas, Shaftesbury terrace, Hornsey Rise, Fishmonger. Mar 24 at 2 at offices of Brown and Sons, Finsbury place

Shippam, Joseph, Mansfield, Fish Merchant. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Brittle, St Peter's Chambers, St Peter's gate, Nottingham

Shone, Joseph, Jun, Whitchurch, Salop, Rope Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 1 at the Royal Hotel, Crews, Ercote, Whitechurch

Slocombe, Richard, Swanso, out of business. Mar 23 at 3 at offices of Woodward, Wind st, Swanso

Smith, Alfred, Chivers Coton, Warwick, Accountant. Mar 23 at 2 at the Newdegate Arms Hotel, Slingby, Nuneaton

Smith, Frederick Henry, Sydney st, Islington, Manager. Mar 25 at 2 at offices of Parke, Warwick ct, Gray's inn

Smith, Sadler, Hoyle well row, Shorehead, Carman. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Jenkinson, Easchep

Southern, Richard Latimer, and William Francis Newall Quilly, Fen-church st, Merchants. Mar 21 at 11 at Cannon st, Hotel. Traders and Curtis-Hayward, Bishopsgate st, within

Stevens, James, Bournemouth, Auctioneer. Mar 22 at 2 at the Pembroke Hotel, Bournemouth. Travani, Poole

Stovel, Thomas, Bristol, out of business. Mar 27 at 1 at offices of Tricks and Co, City chambers, Nicholas st, Bristol. Clifton, Bristol

Strange, Henry, John Hudson Brodrick, and Robert Henry Payne, Bethesda, Carnarvon, Brewers. Mar 26 at 12 at the Albion Inn, Chester. Hughes, Bangor

Symonds, Joseph, Marchay, Derby, Licensed Victualler. Mar 26 at 3 at the Bell Hotel, Sadler gates, Derby. Hextal, Derby

Taylor, Eliza, Tryddyn, Flint, Innkeeper. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Jones, Henblas st, Wrexham

Taylor, Elizabeth, Fleetwood, Lancaster, Schoolmistress. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Forshaw and Farer, Cannon st, Preston

Taylor, Westwood, Sherburn, York, Farmer. Mar 26 at 3 at the New Inn, Sherburn. Rhodes, Sherburn

Taylor, William, and Humphrey Taylor, Golcar, York, Fancy Woollen Manufacturers. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Ailey and Hall, New st, Huddersfield

Tennant, Charles, Upper st, Ilkington, Fancy Draper. April 7 at 2 at the Mason's Hall Tavern, Mason's avenue, Basinghall st, Kynaston and Gasquet, Queen st, Cheapside

Thomas, Colston, Liverpool, out of business. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Isaac Simm, South John st, Liverpool. Carruthers, Liverpool

Thomas, William Horafail, Liverpool, Wine Merchant. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Morris and Jones, Harrington st, Liverpool

Tidswell, John, Stretford, Lancaster, Grocer. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Heath and Sons, Swan st, Manchester

Turley, Levi, Hallifield, Stafford, Grocer. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Stokes and Harper, Priory st, Dudley

Unsworth, Daniel, Little Lever, Lancaster, Joiner. April 9 at 3 at offices of Hall, Acresfield, Bolton

Wade, Joseph, Eccleshall, York, Provision Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Cotman, Bank st, Bradford

Warren, Charles Henry Chambers, Turvey, Bedford, Builder. March 29 at 3 at offices of Stimson, Mill st, Bedford

Warren, John Albert, Wigan, Lancaster, Boot Dealer. March 31 at 3 at offices of Wall, Cresswell Chambers, Walkgate, Wigan

Weaver, Richard, Manchester, Wine and Spirit Merchant. March 26 at 3 at offices of Fox, Princess st, Manchester

Webber, James William, Heavtree, Devon, Mason. March 27 at 3 at offices of Andrew, Bedford circus, Exeter

White, Joseph, Birmingham, Electro Plate Manufacturer. March 27 at 3 at Queen's Hotel, Stephenson place, New st, Birmingham. Fitter, Birmingham

White, Philip, Halberton, Devon, Farmer. March 26 at 1.45 at Palmerston Hotel, Tiverton. Petherick

Whitchall, Henry, Rhos, Denbigh, Beerhouse Keeper. March 27 at 3 at offices of Dixon, Duncan st, Birkenhead. Thompson, Birmingham

Whittingham, John, Nantwich, Chester, Corn Miller. March 27 at 12 at Crowe Arms Hotel, Crewe. Leigh, Manchester

Wilcox, Samuel, Melksham, Wilts, Corn Dealer. March 25 at 11 at Townhall, Melksham. Smith, Melksham

Williamson, Thomas, Kingston-upon-Hull, Draper. March 24 at 3 at offices of Watson and Son, Parliament st, Kingston-upon-Hull

Wilson, Agnes Taylor, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Confectioner. March 24 at 11 at offices of Dove, Northumberland court, Newcastle-on-Tyne

Witchell, Joseph Brown, Cardiff, Glamorgan, Bootmaker. March 27 at 3 at Queen's Hotel, Birmingham. Morgan, Pontypridd

Withcombe, Robert, Exeter, Butcher. March 26 at 12 at offices of Burch and Barnes, Palace gates, Exeter

Woodbridge, John, Narwood Junction, Builder. March 23 at 11 at offices of Roberts, Coseman st, London

Woolerton, John, Jun, Waltham-on-the-Wolds, Leicestershire, Tailor. March 31 at 3 at offices of Co, Long row, Market place, Nottingham

Wormwell, James, Leeds, out of business. March 27 at 3 at offices of Rider, South Parade, Leeds

Yorke, George, Rock Ferry, Chester, Painter. March 31 at 12 at offices of Sim, South John st, Liverpool. Carruthers, Liverpool

Yorke, Thomas Simpson, Northampton, Shoe Manufacturer. March 27 at 3 at offices of Shoosmith, Newland
Yonde, Fergus O'Connor, Chester, Hairdresser. March 26 at 12 at offices of Churton, Eastgate buildings, Chester

TUESDAY, Mar. 18, 1879.

Anderson, Alexander William, Mack's rd, Bermondsey, Oil Manufacturer. April 3 at 1 at 4, Borough High st, Southwark. Tanner, Circus pl, Finsbury circus
Andrews, Edward, Bourn, Lincoln, Carpenter. Mar 28 at 11 at the Corn Exchange, Bourne. Deacon and Wilkins, Peterborough
Antill, John James, Gloucester, Grocer. Mar 29 at 3 at offices of Haines, Westgate chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester
Aspin, Avery, Chatham st, Battersea, pk rd, Baker. April 5 at 11 at offices of Ambrose and Co, Gracian chambers, Devereux court, Temple
Astill, James, Newton Linford, Leicester, Farmer. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Owston and Dickinson, Friar lane, Leicester
Bale, William Edward, Leeds, Leather Dresser. Mar 31 at 3 at 1, Albion pl, Leeds. Markland and Davy, Leeds
Balance, Thomas Frederick, Norfolk st, Strand, Gent. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Everingham and Co, Clement's lane, Lombard st, West and Co, Cannon st
Barfoot, James, Liverpool, Beer, Retailer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Horner, Stafford st, Liverpool
Barlow, Charles John, Birkdale, Lancashire, Joiner. Mar 31 at 11 at the Committee Room, Devonshire buildings, Southampton. Buck and Dicksons, Southport
Bennett, Thomas, Mumbles, Glamorgan, Boat Builder. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Thomas, York pl, Swansea
Bere, George Abraham, Leford, Devon, Butcher. April 3 at 3 at the Malt Scop Inn, Leford. Smith and Co
Birch, Joseph, Gt Marlow, Bucks, Farmer. April 5 at 3 at offices of Rawson, High st, Gt Marlow
Birkett, Alfred, Wigan, Hatter. Mar 29 at 11 at offices of France, Church gate, Wigan
Bolton, James, Ardwick, Lancashire, Grocer. April 7 at 3 at the Falstaff Hotel, Bolton, Manchester. Harris, Manchester
Bould, William, Wednesbury, out of business. April 1 at 3 at offices of Sheldon, High st, Wednesbury
Bradshaw, William Septimus, Preston, Watchmaker. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Cooper, Fox st, Preston
Brewin, Frederick, Grantham, Lincoln, Builder. April 1 at 11 at the Red Lion Hotel, Grantham. Thompson and Sons, Grantham
Brighton, Edward Henry, Tottenham, Pasty Cook. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Brighton and Co, Bishopsgate st without
Briscombe, Elizabeth Hoye, Horfield, nr, Leeds, Silk Spinner. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of North and Sons, East Parade, Leeds
Brown, George, Leicester, Builder. April 2 at 12 at offices of the Trade Protection Society, New st, Leicester. Harvey, Leicester
Burnham, Henry, Fenton, Stoke-upon-Trent, Grocer. Mar 26 at 11 at the Canning Inn, Fenton
Caman, William, Stone, Gloucester, Miller. Mar 29 at 2 at offices of Scott, Eilden chambers, Berkeley st, Gloucester
Challinor, Ralph, Bilton, Cheese Factor. April 9 at 12 at offices of Richardson, Wood st, Bolton
Clark, Thomas Henry, Peer lane, Gt Tower st, Licensed Lighterman. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Preston, Market lane
Collins, Jeremiah, Devonport, China Dealer. Mar 28 at 1 at the Queen's Hotel, Queen st, Exeter. Dowe
Dobbing, Anthony Chapman, Middleton-one-row, Durham. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Jackson and Jackson, Albert rd, Middleborough
Driver, Walter, South Ossett, York, Plumber. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Lodge, Wood st, Wakefield
Earnshaw, Joseph John, Heeley, York, Coal Merchant. April 2 at 1 at offices of Wightman, Change Alley, Sheffield
Earnshaw, William Armitage, Mothimer st, Cavendish sq, no occupation. April 9 at 3 at offices of Lumley and Lumley, Old Jewry chamber
Edmonds, John, Tenby, Mason. Mar 25 at the Townhall, Tenby, in lieu of the place originally named
Edwards, William Henry, Nottingham, Timber Merchant. April 4 at 12 at offices of Brittle, St Peter's chambers, St Peter's gate, Nottingham
Elliot, William Henry, Kenton st, Brunswick sq, Manufacturing Fancy Stationer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Jenkins, Tavistock st, Strand
Emery, George, Birmingham, out of business. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Fallows, Cherry st, Birmingham
Evans, David, Brynher, Cardigan, Farmer. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Jones, Gt Park Gate st, Aberystwyth
Ewins, James, and Ernest James Ewins, Newport, Mon, Wine Merchants. April 4 at 2 at offices of Gibbs and Llewelyn, Tredegar pl, Newport
Fairs, Charles, Gt Portland st, Oxford st, Footmaker. April 1 at 10 at offices of York and Brewer, Conduit st, Regent st
Finigan, John, Manchester, Builder. April 9 at 3 at offices of Trappe and Lancashire, China buildings, Police st, Manchester
Fletcher, George Joseph, Leicester, Cowkeeper. April 1 at 3 at offices of Shires, Market st, Leicester
Foss, William Beard, Eston Hastings, Berks, Farmer. April 1 at 1 at offices of Barnes, Wood st, Swindon
Foster, Henry George, Stockton-on-Tees, Shoemaker. Mar 28 at 2.30 at the Bell Hotel, Leicester. Draper, Stockton-on-Tees
Foster, Robert, Stockton-on-Tees, Cab Proprietor. April 2 at 3 at offices of Draper, Finkle st, Stockton-on-Tees
Freeman, Henry Thomas, Hereford, Publican. Mar 31 at 10.30 at offices of Garrold, Widemarsh st, Hereford
Fuller, Leonard, Alexandra rd, St John's Wood, Gent. April 2 at 3 at offices of Wyatt and Barrand, Arthur st west, London bridge
Graham, John, Henwall, York, Maltster. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Whiteley, Albion st, Leeds
Gibson, Mary Elizabeth, No thrate, York, Linen Draper. April 2 at 3 at offices of Curry, Cheekheaton
Gidney, Jeremiah William, Lincoln st, Bow, Oil Merchant. April 8 at 3 at offices of Chapel and Co, Golden sq
Gillott, John, Horley, Lancashire, Cotton Spinner. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Houghton and Myre, Winckley st, Preston

Goocher, Thomas, Ware, Journeyman Butcher. April 2 at 11 at offices of Armstrong and Bowers, Fore st, Hartford
Goocher, Walter Henry, Ware, Journeyman Butcher. April 2 at 12 at offices of Armstrong and Bowers, Fore st, Hartford
Goodwin, Thomas Athey, Nottingham, Draper. April 8 at 12 at offices of Fraser, Wheelergate, Nottingham
Gray, Harry Gordon, Folgate, Sussex, Coal Merchant. Mar 31 at 11 at the Gilbridge Hotel, Terminus rd, Eastbourne. Kirkland
Gray, John, Arcana, Manchester, Draper. April 4 at 3 at offices of Chorlton, Brascennose st, Manchester
Green, Thomas, Wombwell, York, Colliery Labourer. April 2 at 3 at offices of Rideal, Chronicl chambers, Barnsley
Hallam, William, Liverpool, Boot Dealer. April 4 at 3 at offices of Boots and Edgar, Booth st, Manchester
Harding, Alfred, Birmingham, Coal Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Rowlands, Corporation chambers, Ann st, Birmingham
Hargreaves, Joseph, and James Hargreaves, Tottington, Lancashire, Contractors. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Arderton, Gard-n-st, Bury
Harmon, Thomas, Southampton, Grocer. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Foreman and Co, Great am st, Watts, Southampton
Harris, John, Long Ickington, Warwick, Hay Dealer. Mar 28 still at offices of Sanderson, Church st, Warwick
Harrison, William, jun, Leeds, Cab Driver. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Pullan, Bond st, Leeds
Hewitt, Kemble, Cambridge, Drapers' Assistant. April 2 at 12 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, Ellissou and Co, Petty Cur, Cambridge
Hirst, Joseph, Cleckheaton, York, Wire Drawer. Mar 31 at 3 at the George Hotel, Heckmondwike. Jubb
Horsey, Hubert, Westbourne, Grocer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Tranvian, New st, Poole
Hutton, William, Fremington, Devon, Farmer. April 2 at 11.30 at offices of Thorne, Castle st, Barnstaple
Hyde, Enos, Birmingham, Fishmonger. Mar 28 at 10.15 at offices of East, Temple st, Birmingham
Jeremiah, John, Blackwood, Mon, Colliery Manager. Mar 31 at 12.30 at the William the Fourth Inn, Commercial st, Newport. James, Merthyr Tydfil
Jones, James, Wolverhampton, Lock Manufacturer. April 1 at 3 at offices of Willcock, Queen's chambers, North st, Wolverhampton
Jones, Richard, and William Henry Jones, Liverpool, Mineral Water Manufacturers. April 3 at 2 at offices of Lupton, Harrington st, Liverpool
Kendall, Maurice Kauffman, Victoria grove, Brompton, Importer of French Furniture. Mar 25 at 10 at offices of Biggenden, Finsbury sq, buildings, Finsbury sq
Kay, William, Oldham, Confectioner. Mar 31 at 3 at the Falstaff Hotel, Market pl, Manchester. Clemmet, jun, Manchester
Keen, Robert, Carmarthen, Groom. Mar 29 at 2 at offices of Walter, St Mary st, Carmarthen
Kenyon, John Thomas, Bury, Lancashire, Draper. April 1 at 11 at offices of Hunt and Co, Nicholas st, Manchester. Slater and Turnbull, Manchester
King, George Birch, Birmingham, Milliner. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Coleman and Co, Colmore row, Birmingham
Kitson, Frederick, Ly Waste, Worcester, Beerhouse Keeper. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Waldron, High st, Brierley hill
Laidlaw, John, Leeds, Draper. Mar 28 at 11 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford
Lain, Emma, Norwich, Confectioner. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Sad and Lay, Theatre st, Norwich
Lemer, Charles, Queen's st, St John's Wood, Professor of Gymnastics. April 7 at 4 at offices of Yorks and Brewer, Conduit st, Regent st
London, Robert, Adelaide pl, West Strand, Provision Merchant. April 2 at 12 at offices of Vanderpump, Gray's inn q
Lord, William, Littleborough, Lancashire, Hard Waste Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Standing, King st, Rochdale
Low, William, Deverell st, New Kent rd, Fruiter Salesman. Mar 25 at 3 at offices of Chipperfield, Trinity st, Southwark
Lowe, Alfred Thomas, Elliott's rd, Brixton, out of business. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Wheeler, Queen Victoria st
Lowe, Samuel, Darlington, Durham, Schoolmaster. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Wilkes, Northgate, Darlington
Madge, John Thomas, Honiton, Devon, Brewer. April 3 at 3 at the Dolphin Hotel, Honiton, Stamp and Son, Honiton
Mallow, Henry, Manchester, Furniture Broker. April 1 at 3 at offices of Casper, Braxenose st
Maples, Charles, jun, Kingston-upon-Hull, Black Lead Manufacturer. Mar 25 at 2 at the George Hotel, Kingston-upon-Hull. Cross, Hull
Massey, Joseph, Star corner, Bermondsey, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 29 at 11 at 42, Sardinia st, Lincoln's inn fields. Hicks, Victoria park rd
McCellan, Helen, Huddersfield, Milliner. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Berry, Market place, Huddersfield
Morris, Henry, Bethnal Green rd, Looking Glass Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Green, Queen st
Morrison, William, Leeds, Draper. Mar 28 at 3 at the Law Institution, Albion place, Leeds
Mortimer, Thomas, Leeds, Draper. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Fullan, Bond st, Leeds
Newman, William, Great Rissington, Gloucester, Innkeeper. Mar 29 at 2 at the Bull Hotel, Burford. Kilby and Mace, Chipping Norton
North, Albert James, Old Faversham, Hants, Baker. April 2 at 3 at offices of Lamport, High st, Southampton
Norton, James, Scoulton, Norfolk, Farmer. April 3 at 3 at offices of Grizeen and Robinon, Watton
Olley, James, and Edwin Olley, Addle st, Tailors. April 3 at 2.30 at offices of Pannell and Co, Girdlers' Hall chamber, Basinghall st
Pittmann, Guildhall chambers
Painter, Frederick Charles, Leicester, out of business. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Fowler and Co, Grey Friars chambers, Friar lane, Leicester
Palfrmann, William, Hambleton, York, of no occupation. Mar 24 at 3 at offices of Crumble, Stonegate
Palmer, Joseph, Lower Tottenham, Middlesex, Cheesemonger. Mar 25 at 12 at offices of Wolstenholme and Co, Ironmonger lane
Parkes, Aquila, Cradley Heath, Stafford, Chaisemaker. Mar 29 at 11.30 at offices of Homer, High st, Brierley hill

Paris, Josiah, of Lay, Staple
Parry, James
Sheppard, Q
Paterson, John
13 at offices
Pearson, A, Athin
Mar 28 at 3
Pryper, George
offices of Ow
Perkin, William
offices of Ge
Perkins, Sam
offices of Sm
Perry, George
offices of Sm
Pilot, John, L
Pollard, Arthur
of Steel and
bury
Bathville, Th
the Great
Birmingham
Reynolds, Si
offices of E
Rhodes, James
Victoria H
Riddell, Geo
Butts, Bu
Crown's q
Roberts, Hen
Fountain, J
at offices of
Robinson, W
at offices of
Rudd, Samuel
Mason's 1
Barge yard
Russell, Sam
11 at offic
Sawyer, E
offices of
Scotcher, the King's
Sharp, Ro
half Taver
Short, 1-ain
April 1
Simonds, C
Simpson, E
3 at 11 a
Sibley, Hen
offices of
Sleath, Hen
offices of
Biring
Smith, Hen
offices of
Smith, Judd
Smith, Th
of Ashm
Sparking,
at 3 at
Urry, B
Stiel, Wil
Wilkinst
Stevenson
offices
Sutton, T
of Beat
Thatche
11 at offic
Tippet, J
of Clark
Turner, J
chancet
bury of
Turner,
of Dibb
Umbrell
Bell, C
Valentine
offices
Vaughan
the A
Veres
offices
Wagstaff
offices
Walker,
3 at offic
Ward,
at 4 at offic
Webb
offices
Watshay
Gallow
Watshay
Bridge
Wheelie
offices
Whitebr
Han

Parris, Josiah, Twickenham, Middlesex, Grocer. Mar 28 at 4 at offices of Lay, Staple Inn, Holborn
 Parry, James, Ley, Gloucester, Farmer. April 2 at 2 at offices of Sheppard, Queen st, Gloucester
 Paterson, John, Hulme, Manchester, Boerhouse keeper. April 3 at 12 at offices of Blakeway, Deansgate, Manchester
 Pearson, Atkinson, and James Pearson, Bolton, Lancashire, Joiners. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Rutter and Finney, Mawdley st, Bolton
 Pepper, George, Loughborough, Leicester, Tailor. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Owston and Dickinson, Friar lane, Leicester
 Perkin, William Joshua, Salop, Leeds, Architect. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Gordon, Bond st, Leeds. Harland, Leeds
 Perkins, Samuel Edward, Northampton, Grocer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Becke, Derngate, Northampton
 Perry, George, Wednesbury, Stafford, Bookseller. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Smith, Walsall st, Wednesbury
 Pilot, John, Dawley, Salop, Licensed Victualler. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Phillips and Co, Shifnal
 Pollard, Arthur, Alderney rd, Mile End, Oldham. April 7 at 3 at offices of Steer and Knight, Basinghall st, Mason, North buildings, Finchbury
 Ravenhill, Thomas, Birmingham, Timber Merchant. Mar 28 at 3 at the Great Western Hotel, Monmouth st, Birmingham. Fallows, Birmingham
 Reynolds, Simon, Truro, Cornwall, Upholsterer. April 3 at 11 at offices of Paul, Quay st, Truro
 Rhodes, James, Roundhay, nr Leeds, Woolstapler. April 2 at 3 at the Victoria Hotel, Bradford. Chadwick and Sons, Dewsbury
 Riddell, George, and Thomas Riddell, Churchyard rd, Newington Butts, Builders. Mar 31 at 2 at offices of Sadler and Co, Three Crown sq, Southwark. Arnold, Townhall chambers, Southwark
 Roberts, Henry Bucklecole, Gloucester, Butcher. April 1 at 3 at the Fountain Inn, Westgate st, Gloucester. Jackson, Stroud
 Robinson, Joseph, Newcastle-under-Lyme, Clock Maker. Mar 25 at 11 at offices of Griffith, Lad lane, Newcastle-under-Lyme
 Robinson, William, Heaton, Middlesex, Corn Merchant. April 7 at 3 at offices of Stocken and Jupp, Lime st sq
 Rudd, Samuel, Lambeth walk, Lambeth, Printer. Mar 31 at 3 at the Mason's Hall Tavern, Mason's avenue, Basinghall st, Kilvington, Barge yard, Bucklersbury
 Russell, Samuel Parfitt, Bath, Journeyman Wheelwright. April 7 at 11 at offices of Bartrum, Northumberland buildings
 Savours, Elizabeth, Swansea, Licensed Victualler. Mar 27 at 3 at offices of Jellicoe, Prospect place, Swansea
 Schommer, Henry J., Stowupland, Suffolk, Farmer. April 3 at 12 at the King's Head Hotel, Stowmarket. Marriott and Hayward, Stowmarket
 Sharpe, Robert, Middlesbrough, Grocer. April 1 at 12 at the Guildhall Tavern, Gresham st, Robson, Middlesbrough
 Short, I-sannah, and Benjamin Harey, West Bromwich, Coalmasters. April 1 at 10.30 at offices of Shakespeare, Church st, Oldbury
 Simonds, Charles Christopher, Flitcheim, Norfolk, Farm Builders. Mar 28 at 11 at the King's Head Hotel, Diss. Musket and Garrod, Diss
 Simpson, Edward Thomas, Alnemouth, Northumberland, Grocer. April 3 at 11 at offices of Tate, St Michael's lane, Alnwick
 Sibley, Henry, Barton Hill, Bristol, Cider Merchant. Mar 29 at 11 at offices of Sibley, Exchange West, Bristol
 Sleight, Henry, Birmingham, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Luke and Sharp, Ann st, Birmingham. Sargent and Son, Birmingham
 Smith, Henry Venn Brandram, Dudley, Ship Broker. April 2 at 11 at offices of Lockyer, Gresham buildings, Basinghall st
 Smith, James Gaw, Eccles, Lancashire, Joiner. April 2 at 11 at offices of Budington and Ball, Lloyd st, Manchester
 Smith, Thomas, Sheppstone, Stafford, Innkeeper. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Ashmole, Market st, Litchfield
 Sparling, William, Bolton, Lancashire, Cotton Waste Dealer. April 3 at 3 at the Mitre Hotel, Cathedral gates, Manchester. Dowling and Urry, Bolton
 Steel, William, Shambles, York, Butcher. April 2 at 12 at offices of Wilkinson, St Helen's sq, York
 Stevenson, Thomas, Leicester, Boot Manufacturer. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Harvey, Seaborne buildings, Millstone lane, Leicester
 Sutton, Thomas, West Bromwich, Shoe Dealer. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Beaton and Robinson, Church st, Colmore row, Birmingham
 Thatcher, Elizabeth Jane, Oxford, Lodging house keeper. April 8 at 11 at offices of Mallam, High st, Oxford
 Tipper, John, Willenhall, Stafford, Bookseller. Mar 29 at 11 at offices of Clark, New rd, Willenhall
 Turner, James Thomas, Central Market, Smithfield, Provision Merchant. Mar 31 at 2 at the Cannon st Hotel. Gush and Phillips, Finsbury circus
 Turner, John, Barnsley, York, Boat Builder. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Dibb and Co, Regent st, Barnsley
 Upleby, Frank, West Hartlepool, Tailor. April 4 at 3 at offices of Bell, Church st, West Hartlepool
 Valentine, Thomas, Manchester, Furniture Broker. April 3 at 3 at offices of Addleshaw and Arbury, Norfolk st, Manchester
 Vaughan, Thomas Curteon, Tewkesbury, Gloucester. Mar 31 at 3 at the Anchor Hotel, Tewkesbury. Cave, Bromyard nr Worcester
 Vernon, John, Bideford, Devon, Cabinet Maker. Mar 31 at 12 at offices of Rooker and Basley, Bridge and st, Bideford
 Wagstaffe, Charles Robert, Richmond, Surrey, Tailor. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Chapman and Bentle, Gresham buildings, Basinghall st
 Walker, Charles, Saltley, nr Birmingham, out of business. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Parry, Bennett's hill, Birmingham
 Ward, George, Copenhagen st, Caledonian rd, Lath Render. April 10 at 4 at offices of Yorke and Brewer, Conduit st, Regent st
 Webb, George, Farrance and Bartlett rd, Builder. April 7 at 11 at offices of Wolferstan and Co, Ironmonger lane
 Westby, Jane, Leicester, Cutler. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Wright, Gallowtree gate, Leicester
 Westhead, James, Lydford, Devon, Gent. Mar 27 at 12 at offices of Bridgeman, Church lane, Tavistock
 Wheeler, Charles Edward, Liverpool, Cotton Broker. April 4 at 3 at offices of Whitley and Maddock, Water st, Liverpool
 Whitehead, John, Mosley, York, Nail Maker. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Hatchett and Watson, Church lane, Oldham

Whiteley, James, Ovenden, York, Machine Maker. Mar 31 at 11 at offices of Jubb, Harrison rd, Halifax
 Wigfield, Benjamin, Darlington, Durham, Shoemaker. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Wilkes, Newgate, Darlington
 Williamson, Robert, Leeds, Draper. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Terry and Robinson, Market st, Bradford
 Willis, William, Warwick, Shopkeeper. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Sanderson, Church st, Warwick
 Wilson, Joseph, Aston-juncto-Birmingham, Clerk. Mar 28 at 3 at offices of Duke, Temple row, Birmingham
 Winkley, Edward, West Hulme, Manchester, Boot Maker. April 3 at 11 at offices of Young, Princess st, Manchester
 Wood, Edward, Widnes, Lancashire, Provision Dealer. April 4 at 3 at offices of Connor, Victoria st, Liverpool. Browne, Liverpool
 Woollott, Henry, Erdington, Warwick, China Manufacturers' Manager. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Hodges and Haigh, Waterloo st, Birmingham
 Wright, James, Jun, Hertford, Pork Butcher. Mar 28 at 12 at offices of Armstrong and Bowers, Fore st, Hertford
 Wright, Richard, Seagrave rd, Fulham, Grocer. Mar 31 at 3 at offices of Bradford and Hale, Old Broad at
 Young, William, Southampton, Common Brewer. Mar 28 at 2 at offices of Hickman, Albion place, Southampton

SCHWEITZER'S COCOATINA,

Anti-Dyspeptic Cocoa or Chocolate Powder.

Guaranteed Pure Soluble Cocoa of the Finest Quality, with the excess of fat extracted.

The Faculty pronounce it "the most nutritious, perfectly digestible beverage for Breakfast, Luncheon, or Supper, and invaluable for Invalids and Children."

Highly recommended by the entire Medical Press.

Being without sugar, spice, or other admixture, it suits all palates, keeps better in all climates, and in four times the strength of cocoas THICKENED yet WEAKENED with starch, &c., and IN REALITY CHEAPER than such Mixtures.

Made instantaneously with boiling water, a teaspoonful to a Break fast Cup, costing less than a halfpenny.

COCOATINA A LA VANILLE is the most delicate, digestible, cheapest Vanilla Chocolate, and may be taken when richer chocolate is prohibited.

In tin packets at 1s, 6d, 3s, &c., by Chemists and Grocers.

Charities on Special Terms by the Sole Proprietors,

H. SCHWEITZER & CO., 10, Adam-street, London, W.C.

PAINLESS DENTISTRY.

M. R. G. H. JONES,

SURGEON DENTIST,

57, GREAT RUSSELL-STREET, BLOOMSBURY

(Immediately opposite the British Museum),

Will be glad to forward his new Pamphlet gratis and post-free, which explains the only perfectly painless system of adapting ARTIFICIAL TEETH (protected by Her Majesty's Royal Letters Patent), which have obtained five Prize Medals, London 1862, Paris 1867, Philadelphia 1876, Vienna 1873, and New York 1853.—Consultation daily free.

EDE AND SON ROBE MAKERS



BY SPECIAL APPOINTMENT,

To Her Majesty, the Lord Chancellor, the Whole of the Judicial Bench, Corporation of London, &c.

SOLICITORS' AND REGISTRARS' GOWNS.

BARRISTERS' AND QUEEN'S COUNSEL'S DITTO.

CORPORATION ROBES UNIVERSITY CLERGY GOWNS, &c.

ESTABLISHED 1689.

94. CHANCERY LANE, LONDON.

THE MUTUAL DRESS SUPPLY ASSOCIATION

(Limited).—Since the issue of the prospectus in February last, a numerous and influential body of subscribers has joined the Association.

To instance an advantage accruing to shareholders, it is estimated that they effect an average saving of 30 per cent. on their purchases.

The directors contemplate discontinuing the issue of shares at par, carrying bonus privileges, after the 31st inst.

By securing shares with bonus privileges subscribers will ultimately hold them free of cost.

Applications to be made to the Secretary.
 326, Regent-street, London, W.

By order.

PROFESSIONAL or PRIVATE RESIDENCE.

—To be LET at once, on lease, 38, Great Russell-street, facing the British Museum, also premises in the rear, if required, accessible by covered way or from Gilbert-street, all newly decorated, &c. Gas fittings and other valuable fixtures included in the rent. No premium required.—Apply next door (No. 37), to Mr. G. H. Jones, Surgeon-Dentist.

CLERICAL, MEDICAL, and GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

13, St. James's-square, London, S.W.
City Branch : Mansion House Buildings, E.C.

FINANCIAL RESULTS.

Annual Income	£279,000
Assurance Fund	£1,181,000
Bonuses added to Policies in January, 1870	£357,000
Total Claims by Death paid	£3,897,000
Subsisting Assurances and Bonuses	£6,373,000

DISTINCTIVE FEATURES.

CREDIT of half the first five Annual Premiums allowed on whole-term policies on healthy Lives not over 60 years of age.

ENDOWMENT ASSURANCES granted, without Profits, payable at death or on attaining a specified age.

INVALIDS assured at rates proportioned to the risk.

CLAIMS paid thirty days after proof of death.

REPORT, 1870.

The 54th Annual Report, just issued, and the latest Balance Sheets tendered to the Board of Trade, can be obtained at either of the Society's Offices, or of any of its Agents.

GEORGE CUTCLIFFE, Actuary and Secretary.

REVERSIONARY AND LIFE INTERESTS in Landed or Funded Property or other Securities and ANNUITIES purchased, or Loans thereon granted, by the

EQUITABLE REVERSIONARY INTEREST SOCIETY, 19, LANCASTER-PLACE, WATERLOO-BRIDGE, STRAND, Established 1835. Paid-up Capital, £280,000.

If required Interest on Loans may be capitalized.

F. S. CLAYTON, } Joint
G. H. CLAYTON, } Secretaries.

NORTHERN COUNTIES OF ENGLAND FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY (LIMITED).

Head Office : Fennell-street, Manchester.

CAPITAL—ONE MILLION STERLING.

PAID-UP AND INVESTED - £230,000
Being the second largest Paid-up Capital of any Company in the Kingdom purely devoted to fire business.

ANNUAL INCOME upwards of - £100,000

The Northern Counties of England, being essentially a Non-Tariff Company, is in no way bound by the fixed rates of the Tariff Companies, but rates each risk on its own individual merits, giving every consideration to superiority in construction and management, and making a liberal allowance for fire-extinguishing appliances.

Surveys made and Rates quoted Free of Charge.

Special terms allowed to Solicitors for the Introduction of Business.

JAMES E. CRABTREE, General Manager.

MORTGAGE DEBENTURES AT 5, 5½, AND 6 PER CENT.

THE NEW ZEALAND AGRICULTURAL COMPANY, LIMITED, are prepared to receive applications for the Debentures of the present issue not yet allotted. The Company can now undertake all kinds of agency business and the management of properties in the colony. The necessary arrangements for sending out Cadets to learn Agriculture and Sheep Farming and for enabling parties to settle on the Company's Estates are under consideration, and particulars will shortly be published.

(By order) JOHN SCOTT CAVELL, Secretary.

110, Cannon-street, Feb. 14, 1870.

THE AGRA BANK (LIMITED). Established in 1833.—Capital, £1,000,000.

HEAD OFFICE—NICHOLAS-LANE, LOMBARD-STREET, LONDON. BRANCHES in Edinburgh, Calcutta, Bombay, Madras, Kurrachee, Agra, Lahore, Shanghai, Hong Kong.

CURRENT ACCOUNTS are kept at the Head Office on the terms customary with London bankers, and interest allowed when the credit balance does not fall below £100.

Deposits received for fixed periods on the following terms, viz.:—At 5 per cent. per annum, subject to 12 months' notice of withdrawal. For shorter periods deposits will be received on terms to be agreed upon.

BILLS issued at the current exchange of the day on any of the Branches of the Bank free of extra charge; and approved bills purchased or sent for collection.

SALES AND PURCHASES effected in British and foreign securities, in East India Stock and loans, and the safe custody of the same undertaken.

Interest drawn and army, navy, and civil pay and pensions realized. Every other description of banking business and money agency. British and Indian transacted.

J. THOMSON, Chairman.

TO SOLICITORS and Others.—Three capital Floors to be Let, 5, Foster-lane, Cheapside. Rent £100. No taxes.—Apply on premises.

SOLICITORS obliged to burn the midnight oil will find the Queen's Reading Lamp, fitted with Hinrichs' non-combustible wick, a great comfort. No trimming required. To be had of all lamp dealers.—Wholesale, 42, Cannon-street, London.

MESSRS. DEBENHAM, TEWSON & FARMER'S LIST of ESTATES and HOUSES to be SOLD or LET, including Landed Estates, Town and Country Residences, Hunting and Shooting Quarters, Farms, Ground Rents, Rent Charges, House Property and Investments generally, is published on the first day of each month, and may be obtained, free of charge, at their offices, 80, Cheapside, E.C., or will be sent by post in return for two stamps.—Particulars for insertion should be received not later than four days previous to the end of the preceding month.

AN IMPORTANT CONVENIENCE TO LAW WRITERS AND SOLICITORS.

STEPHENS' SCARLET INK FOR STEEL PENS.

This new Ink supplies the demand continually made but never before met, for a Red Ink which is uninjured by Steel or other Metallic Pens. Steel Pens left in this Ink for months do not impair the beauty of its colour, nor are the Pens in the least corroded by it. The existing Red Inks rapidly destroy Steel Pens, and lose their red colour if used with other Gold or Quill Pens. This new colour is a very rich scarlet red of great beauty. The colour of this ink is not affected by use upon parchment, and is consequently of great value to Solicitors and Draughtsmen.

Sold in stone bottles, retail at 1s., 2s., 3s.; and Imperial Quarts of 40 ounces at 6s. each. Also in glass bottles at 6d. and 1s. each.

PARTRIDGE & COOPER.

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL STATIONERS
192, Fleet-street, and 1 & 2, Chancery-lane, London, E.C.

Carriage paid to the Country on Orders exceeding 2s.

DRAFT PAPER, 5s., 6s., 6d., 7s., 6d., 7s., 9d., and 9s. per ream.

BRIEF PAPER, 5s., 6s., 6d., 7s., 6d., and 23s. 6d. per ream.

FOOLSCAP PAPER, 10s. 6d., 14s. 6d., and 18s. 6d. per ream.

CREAM-LAID NOTE, 3s., 4s., and 5s. per ream.

LARGE CREAM-LAID NOTE, 4s. 6d., 6s. 6d., and 8s. per ream.

LARGE BLUE NOTE, 3s. 6d., 4s. 6d., and 6s. 6d. per ream.

ENVELOPES, CREAM OR BLUE, 3s. 9d., 4s. 6d., and 6s. 6d. per 1000.

THE "TEMPLE" ENVELOPE, extra strong, 9s. 6d. per 1000.

FOOLSCAP OFFICIAL ENVELOPES, 1s. 9d. per 100.

PARTRIDGE & COOPER'S VELLUM WOVE CLUB-HOUSE NOTE, 9s. 6d.

This incomparable Paper has raised up a host of worthless imitations. Purchasers are particularly requested to observe that each sheet bears the fac-simile water-mark, "PARTRIDGE & COOPER'S VELLUM-WOVE CLUB-HOUSE PAPER," without which none is genuine.

INDENTURE SKINS, Printed and Machine-ruled, 2s. 5d. each, 20s. per dozen, 13s. per roll.

SCORDS OR FOLLOWERS, Ruled, 2s. 1d. each, 24s. per dozen, 11s. per roll.

RECORDS OR MEMORIALS, 8d. each, 7s. 6d. per dozen.

Lodgers Day Books, Cash Books, Letter or Minute Books.

An immense stock in various bindings.

LAW COPYING OFFICE.

75, CHANCERY LANE, LONDON, W.C.

NO CHARGE FOR PAPER.

DOCUMENTS COPIED 1d. per folio. Engrossed 2d. per folio.

PLANS COPIED.

LAW and GENERAL PRINTING.

LAW and GENERAL LITHOGRAPHY.

LAW and GENERAL STATIONERY.

PARCHMENT.—Indentures ruled and red lined for 15, 20, and 30 folios, 24s. dozen; Followers, 22s. dozen.

ACCOUNT BOOKS of Every Description.

DIE SINKING and STAMPING.

COPYING and LEVER PRESSES.

EDWARD TANN,

75, CHANCERY LANE, & 308, HIGH HOLBORN.

Samples forwarded. Estimates given.

The Companies Acts, 1862 & 1867.

Every requisite under the above Acts supplied on the shortest notice.

The BOOKS and FORMS kept in stock for immediate use MEMORANDA and ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION speedily printed in the proper form for registration and distribution. SHARE CERTIFICATES, DEBENTURES, &c., engraved and printed. OFFICIAL SEALS designed and executed. No charge for sketches. Companies' Fees Stamps. Railway Registration Forms.

Solicitors' Account Books.

RICHARD FLINT & CO.

Stationers, Printers, Engravers, Registration Agents, &c., 49, Fleet street, London, E.C. (corner of Sergeants'-Inn).

Annual and other Returns Stamped and Filed.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Pure, Mild and Mellow, Delicious and most Wholesome. Universally recommended by the Profession. The Cream of Old Irish Whiskies.

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Dr. Hassall says—“Soft and Mellow, Pure, well Matured, and of very excellent quality.”

KINAHAN'S LL WHISKY. Gold Medal, Paris Exhibition, 1878; Dublin Exhibition, 1865, the Gold Medal, 20, Great Titchfield Street, London, W.